NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | September 2, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10¢

HORE-BELISHA PROPOSES UNION OF U.S.-BRITAIN

(See Page 3)

Congressman Finds Deluxe Clubs But No Guns at Canal Zone

(Story on Page 4)

New York W.P.A. Prepares 'I.I.C.' Spy Scare

Stimson Suppressed Recruit Record, Senate Told

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ BIG NEW 'VICTORY CONTEST' ★ WORKERS ALLIANCE RED AFTER ALL

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Dinner Chat

Christian Test of a Just War

REVEREND JEROME HOLLAND, writing in the Brooklyn *Tablet*, presents some practical questions which affect the conscience of every American citizen.

Discussing the matter of a Christian's conscience and war, Father Holland says:

"Fundamental Christian morality lays down four conditions as necessary for a just war:

"1. That war be *initiated by public authority* and not by any private person;

"2. That war *must be a matter of last resort,* all peaceful means having been exhausted in attempting to settle differences between nations;

"3. That there be *a just, legitimate and sufficient cause* for war;

"4. That *a right intention be entertained* in the declaration and in the conduct of the war.

". . . Congress is the only legitimate public authority capable of initiating a war in which the United States may justly engage," Father Holland continues.

"Therefore, a war into which the United States would be 'eased'; a war resulting from 'active belligerency'; an 'undeclared war' would be an immoral war and every conscientious citizen would have not only the right, but the duty to refuse to take any part in such a war.

"The second condition pre-supposes the will to peace on the part of the nation as such. There can be little doubt that the overwhelming will of the American people is for peace and for the use of every reasonable means to avoid war . . . Belligerency, such as reflected in the 'stab in the back' address of President Roosevelt at Charlottesville, Virginia, would seem to indicate an attitude of expediency rather than of necessity in determining this nation's attitude towards war. A war declared for reasons of expediency is an immoral war. No conscientious citizen could in good conscience take an active part in such a war.

"The third condition pre-supposes that the war is one of self-defense . . . So far as information is available to the American people at this time there has been no unjust aggression against our territorial integrity, no attack on our national possessions, no unjust affront to our national honor, no attack on our national existence.

"Most reasons adduced for our entrance into war at this time have to do with hatred of totalitarian governments, fear of their growing power, apprehension over their commercial and economic progress, or on the other hand, benevolence towards Britain, France and what is miscalled 'the democratic way of life.'

"Not one of these reasons," declares Father Holland, "presents a just, legitimate or sufficient reason for engaging in war. Any war initiated for such reasons would, therefore, be an unjust and an immoral war in which no conscientious citizen could take an active part.

"Finally, the fourth condition pre-supposes high and lofty motives on the part of the nation initiating the war and the vindication of justice as the sole reason for the war.

. . . From information available, America has no moral right at this time to engage in war with any nation on the face of the earth."

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 a year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 6 September 2, 1940 No. 10

It's School Time, Again

HELLO, FOLKS:

How are y' this fine day? I hope y' haven't picked up enny uv th' colds that're goin' the rounds these last few weeks. My, but they've reely been somethin'. I guess a good menny peepul f'rgot t' put on that extry blankut 'n when it turned up cold in th' night they jus' stayed in bed 'n shivvered 'n shook rather'n get up 'n take care uv themselves.

Th' weather man sure got his signuls mixed up. I hope he doesn't turn on th' heet too much this Fall now that we've gotten sorta usta this cool weather.

I'm all set, 'cause I sqeeled 'n yelpt one whole night 'til I got Mr. 'n Mrs. Coughlin up finully 'n they let me in. Boy, was I glad to find myself a korner in their nice warm basemunt! I'd like t' stay there all th' time, but Mrs. Coughlin said no, when I asked her, so no it is. Maybe when it gets reely cold I can start workin on her again. She said that Dad could fix me up with an old blankut 'r somethin' 'n I could stay out in my own house til th' snow starts t' fly. So I'm still in th' dog house out in th' yard.

Pal's farin' better, I hear. He's all set with his mattrus in his winter quarters in his Boss's house. Pretty soft! Nothin' like bein' th' favrite Great Dane 'round these parts!

' ' '

I should kick, though! When I stop t' think about it, I'm pretty lucky after all. Ennyway, I don't hafta go t' school this year, like th' rest uv th' young things do, seein's how I was conskripted inta this job, so t' speak.

Yep, th' old school bells start ringin' out once again callin' all th' young hopefuls t' come 'n learn their three R's — 'r are they still teachin' them? Seems t'me I heard that the sistum's been changed a bit.

Pal was tellin' me there's a feelin' of loss over at th' Shrine school this year 'cause their baby teacher, Sister Francetta, isn't with them this year. She hadda go t' some other school t' teach. I never knew her, but from what I c'n gather, she was jus' wonderful with young ones 'n they all loved her so much they'd do ennything she'd ask 'em t' do. Maybe I shoulda made her akwaintunce when she was here. Maybe my whole life'd be diffrunt. Oh, well, it's too late now. 'N 'sides, maybe I wouldn't reely be enny happier bein' enny diffrunt'n I am. I have fun in my own way, so why change, eh?

Lookut Mr. Schwartz, f'rinstunce. He thought he'd be so much happier when he got his new teeth. Life would be jus' one big steak after annuther 'n he could eat nuts 'n ennything his littul heart'd desire. Well, he can't, 'cause his teeth persist in hurtin' him like you know whut, 'n he wears 'm in his pants pockut 'r his top desk drawer jus' is much as he does in his mouth.

' ' '

'N then there's Pal's Boss. Dear me, if only he could regain his boyish figger — life'd be worth livin' again. This thing uv bein' jowly 'n paunchy jus' made life mixruble f'r y'. Somethin' hadda be done about it. So, somethin' was. He began t' take exersize — pushin' himself away from th' tabul.

Well, Pal says it was kinda pitiful t' watch, seein' his Boss sit there lookin' at ev'rybuddy else eatin' Mary's good cookin' 'n enjoyin' ev'ry bite t' th' 'nth degree. (Pal says this diet is hard on him, too, 'cause he doesn't get enny dessert enny more 'n no skraps — y' can't get skraps off'n an empty plate — y'd hafta be a majishun.)

Well, Pal's Boss melted one pound after th' other off 'til all th' soft flesh disappeared, 'n y'd think that he'd be almost th' happiest persun about it, wouldn't ya? But no. He wanted t' lose even more — th' solid flesh he's been carryin' round in front uv him f'r — well, f'r a long time, ennyway — long before my time 'r Pal's, either. 'N that poundage refuses t' budge 'n he is gettin' quite disgusted. So y' see — he's not happy yet, either, even though his figger has improved 'n peepul're tellin' him how much better he looks 'n younger'n all that flatterin' stuff.

Well, I could go on with this f'r annuther page, jus' filosafizin' on how foolish peepul are t'be worryin' 'bout such things. If y're happy th' way y' are, why try changin'? But since Deadline Daddy is once again peepin' in ev'ry few minnuts t' see how I'm gettin' on, I'd better save my other remarks f'r annuther time.

All I gotta say is, if y'll keep on sendin' in th' renewals 'n th' new subskripshuns like y've been doin' these las' few weeks, I'll be jus' about th' happiest feller in th' world.

S'long, folks. See y' later.

—Pete

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name _____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

British-United States Tie-Up

PROBABLY by this time negotiations will have been completed for a British-United States tie-up — a tie-up closer than any hitherto existing between London and Washington, between the Bank of England and the Federal Reserve Banks.

To keep the record clear, let it be remembered that Great Britain owes the American people billions of dollars, representing debts contracted during and following the first World War.

Let it be remembered that Great Britain, for all practical purposes, repudiated that debt.

Let it be remembered that, for all practical purposes, its burden fell upon the shoulders of the American taxpayers.

At this juncture Uncle Sam is about to rent from John Bull certain pieces of properties stretching from Newfoundland down to the Caribbean Sea — property which would include Newfoundland, Bermuda, the Bahamas and possibly British Guiana on the east coast of South America.

John Bull is a bally old bargainer.

He has been known to sell snow shoes to South Africans and straw hats to Eskimoes!

Odds are that in his rental agreement to Uncle Sam, the American taxpayers will be told to forget about the aforementioned debt; will put up for deposit some 50 battleships or destroyers; and will pay genial Johnny a sum of money equivalent to $100-million a year.

Equivalent to that, much thanks to the functioning of the Stabilization Fund, which will keep the pound sterling's head above the $4 water mark.

And this entire transaction will not be made by manner of treaty; for a treaty would require the nod of the United States Senate.

It will be made through Executive bargaining.

Our suggestion is that, if there is so much amity and blood brotherhood existing between John Bull and Uncle Sam, the former should deed outright all his Western Hemispheric possessions to the latter — all save Canada.

This would, in part, square up those matters related to the first World War.

In the past, Americans have purchased foreign property.

According to the *Detroit Free Press:*

"We bought France out of Louisiana in 1803 and Spain out of the Floridas 16 years later. We acquired Alaska from Russia in 1867. We drove Spain out of Cuba and Puerto Rico in 1898. We purchased the Virgin Islands from Denmark in 1917. The French and Dutch colonies in this hemisphere can be legally claimed by Germany as spoils of victory, and, if Germany pressed that claim, we should be forced to resist it under the Monroe Doctrine, as recently defined. The trend of American policy for nearly a century and a half has been to ease Europe out of the New World, with which it has so little in common. The retirement of Great Britain from its remaining colonies in this hemisphere would complete the picture of the Americas for Canada and the American Republics."

Let John Bull convey abstract and deed on all his Western Hemispherical possessions save Canada.

That would practically wipe out Britain's debt to us.

' ' '

Hore-Belisha

QUICKLY following the Ogdensburg conversations held between Mr. Roosevelt and Mr. Mackenzie King came Hore-Belisha's startling proposal.

The former Minister of War in Chamberlain's Cabinet proposed common citizenship for Britishers and Americans.

As a matter of fact, there is no such thing as British citizenship.

There is a Canadian citizen, an Australian citizen, an English citizen, etc., etc. But, in the American acceptance of the word, there is no British citizen.

Perhaps, Hore-Belisha really means that the United States will become a commonwealth within the Empire, such as is Canada, and that we Americans will enjoy British citizenship in the loose acceptance of the term without a right to vote.

Let's not laugh Hore-Belisha's proposal out the back door. *There is a real danger that it will be carried into effect.*

Index to Comment

British-U.S. Tie-up; Hore-Belisha; 48 States, or More ..... **Page 3**

The Panama Canal Zone; Latin America ..... **Page 4**

Both Candidates Dodge; Senator Claude Pepper; Re Mr. Harold Ickes; Labor Leaders for Conscription; Ambassador Bullitt ..... **Page 5**

Editorials: Conscription of Wealth; Roosevelt-Willkie; Trotzky; The Intent Looms Clearer ..... **Page 6**

"I.I.C."; PM: Pro-Marx; Reds Claim Credit; Not Subversive; Lloyd's of London ..... **Page 7**

Year Enlistments Kept Secret; Suffer Little Children ..... **Page 8**

Russia's Intentions; Foreign Trade; Nazis Tighten Trade Grip ..... **Page 9**

A Jewish Front; Jews Out of Europe ..... **Page 12**

Workers Alliance Red After All ..... **Page 13**

Who's to Blame?; How to Defeat Hitler ..... **Page 14**

H. L. Mencken on Youth; Mexico; Aluminum ..... **Page 15**

Believe It or Not, Who Said That? ..... **Page 18**

The gold-mongers, who were powerful enough to bring on this war by writing the infamous *Treaty of Versailles* and thereby inviting the effects ensuing, have not lost their cunning.

Probably, they will be powerful enough to evolve a new kind of citizenship which will comprehend all peoples in the British Empire and the United States of America.

Stranger things have happened.

But, primarily, it will be an economic citizenship, a financial citizenship, and a commercial citizenship.

The world of the 19th Century has shrunk considerably as a result of telegraph, telephone, radio and airplane.

In its shrunken estate, we may look forward to several such amalgamations of peoples.

As expressed before in these columns, the Slavic people, the Latin races and the Germanic races — each will endeavor to amalgamate their forces; each will prepare to abandon its territorial borders and custom officers.

' ' '

48 States—Or More

THERE ARE 48 States in our Union — that is, as of today

Will next year witness the induction of several more States into the United States of America?

The last phase of the battle of Britain is being fought.

It appears that England — not Britain — will fall victim to the German *blitzkreig.*

It appears, as formerly predicted in this periodical, that Britain will move to Canada.

In so far as the United States has cast its destiny in the same mold as that of Britain; in so far as the rest of the world, apparently, will stand opposed to America for her injudicious and hypocritical participation in this second World War, thereby interfering in Europe when we insist that Europe will not interfere with us, it seems that there is nothing left for us to do but to amalgamate with Canada in some closely knit policy of procedure.

Will the several Provinces of Canada become so many States in the United States of America?

Canadians will be reluctant to entertain such a consideration.

Americans may be indifferent towards it.

But, if a military rule eventuates as a result of conscription in both countries; and, if this military rule functions under a joint commission composed of both Americans and Canadians, it seems inevitable that the two countries will be one.

One of the most prominent figures on the joint commission is Fiorello LaGuardia, Mayor of New York.

This Jewish-Italian politician has come a long way up the ladder of fame.

Radical in his philosophy and red in his love for Russia (if one may say so, judging from his remarks made at the World's Fair) LaGuardia soon will dominate the joint commission.

Canada's immediate future depends on the outcome of the battle of Britain.

And it is also contingent upon the leadership of such men as LaGuardia.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Speaking of Preparedness

Panama Canal Zone

STARTLING and dramatic are the disclosures attributed to Representative J. Parnell Thomas of New Jersey relative to the Panama Canal Zone.

This Congressman charged that national defense appropriations for the Canal were frittered away in building powder-puff barracks, ritzy swimming pools, deluxe golf courses and rainbow clubrooms for officers.

And he intimated that the Government appropriation was not used to perfect modern aircraft and anti-aircraft equipment.

Representative Thomas is a member of the House Military Affairs Committee.

He returned from a personal inspection of the defenses of the Canal Zone.

Writing to Secretary Stimson, he said he was deeply shocked by his discoveries, as well as by the failure of the War Department to bring about a marked change in our Panama Canal defenses within the last three years.

These charges are the most serious levelled at the New Deal Administration's management.

They put a question mark before the ability of the present Administration to carry out any program of national defense.

* * *

The Congressman's report took the form of a specified account of the equipment now in the Panama Canal, and in Puerto Rico, the outer guard of the Canal.

Commenting on the Panama air corps, he characterized it as a joke. He indicated that only 23 modern pursuit planes and an equal number of obsolete pursuit planes were available.

He said that there were only a few short-range bombers and 10 observation planes which he described as "nothing more than 10th Century hacks" to complete the sterling air defenses of the Canal Zone.

He criticized the new airport under construction at Howard Field and pointed out the obvious fact that it was a foolhardy blunder to put hangars in the open and above ground where they will be an inviting target for enemy bombers.

Mr Thomas also charged that men serving in the air corps were equipped only with pistols; that they had no ground weapons with which to defend themselves and their equipment.

* * *

The *New York Sun*, reporting the Thomas disclosures relative to the Canal Zone, said:

"Not a single one of the modern 37 millimeter or the powerful 90 millimeter anti-aircraft guns are as yet on hand, despite the fact that they are 'on order.' As for the three-inch guns in use at the canal 60 per cent of these are immobile and much of their usefulness is thereby destroyed. Not more than 50 per cent of the 50 caliber machine guns needed at the canal are as yet available, and there still remains a marked shortage of searchlights.

"Likewise, of course, there is no reserve supply of any of this equipment for emergency use. The fixed guns are unprotected by camouflage in cases, Representative Thomas said, adding that he had seen only one camouflaged battery in the entire zone.

"The field artillery, he wrote, has excellent equipment but not enough of it and lacks a sufficient supply of ammunition for the guns on hand.

"The infantry, on the other hand, is totally lacking, he declared, in modern equipment. It has on the average only thirty-five gas masks for every 129 men, while the civilian employes who operate the locks at the canal are without protection of any kind against the possibility of a gas attack.

"The supply of hand grenades is woefully deficient, he insisted, there being only about one grenade per soldier in the Canal Zone.

"A small supply of anti-tank guns arrived in May, but on August 10, when Representative Thomas visited the canal, no ammunition for these guns had yet arrived.

* * *

"The infantry has no modern automatic rifles at all, he said, and the supply of old automatics is inadequate.

"While giving high praise to the morale of the men and the alertness of the officers, Representative Thomas pointed out that too little time is devoted to drill and training for actual war. One whole infantry regiment, he said, is without a drill ground, while another has spent virtually all its time in the zone in building houses and barracks — work that should be done by the engineering corps.

"When Mr. Thomas was in Panama three years ago the trans-Isthmian Highway linking the Pacific and Atlantic ends of the canal was completed save for a stretch of twenty miles. Today the same twenty miles are to be finished, he said.

" 'The failure to complete this highway,' he wrote, 'is a scandal and a disgrace.'

* * *

"At Puerto Rico the conditions he described were far worse than at Panama — a few pieces of field artillery; almost no anti-aircraft guns and only twelve planes in the entire air corps. The ancient coast defenses, he said, have a range only about half as great as the range of the big guns on a modern battleship. They could therefore be destroyed from the sea by ships that never came within reach of their fire.

"In contrast to these deficiencies in the canal's defenses Representative Thomas cited the fact that building operations in the military zone are proceeding with great rapidity

" 'All new construction by contract is of the deluxe variety,' he wrote. '—an open invitation to enemy bombers. Some of the forts and posts look more like Summer resorts than they look like centers of defense . . . and I cannot help but believe that some of the recreation places in the zone are bordering on the luxurious

" 'The War Department should recognize that we cannot defend the Panama Canal with fancy swimming pools, elaborate officers' clubs and golf courses.

" 'I personally feel that there is no excuse for the lack of progress over the past three years in the defense of the Panama Canal and it is my belief that if all the money that has been appropriated by Congress for the army had been used for defense purposes, we would be properly equipped today, and yet we are led to believe by persons well up in the present administration that the hundreds of millions of dollars appropriated have been put to good use.

" 'I am frankly of the opinion that in the case of the army, instead of it having been put to good use, *it has been forced into defenseless channels*'."

* * *

Latin America

THERE APPEARS to be haste on the part of one *bloc* in Congress to extend loans to South America.

One Administration measure proposes to expand the Export-Import Bank by $500-million for a series of loans to Latin American countries.

Because it is an Administration measure, it is difficult for the Speaker of the House to face a quorum as the debate goes on.

The New Dealers have made up their minds to vote for it — and that's that.

So why listen to the debate?

Republicans charge that the New Deal members of Congress do not know, or want to know, what the legislation is all about.

Roll call after roll call was demanded by the Republican members.

After the last roll call on August 20th, ony 38 Democrats were counted — and one of them was reading a funny paper!

The balance of the 260 New Dealers had left the Chamber after answering "present" to their names.

Probably the measure will pass.

If it does not pass under Mr. Roosevelt's Administration, it will pass under Mr. Willkie's.

It is a measure which will be advertised as in keeping with the policy of good neighborliness.

But the hidden purpose is to bolster up the governments and tradesmen of South America with gold credits, so that these countries will purchase from us.

As indicated previously in this magazine, South America will not do much purchasing in the United States simply because the United States cannot afford to do much purchasing in South America.

We have plenty of beef and cotton.

True, we will purchase coffee and Bolivian tin.

But the Europeans are willing to purchase what we buy plus 100 per cent more.

And the Europeans are able to sell South American manufactured goods cheaper than we can afford to sell on our basis of a 40-hour week and 80 cents an hour for the industrial worker

Eventually, South America will be inclined to deal with Europe despite all loans we make to the Latin Republican governments.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Both Candidates Dodge

BOTH PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT and Wendell Willkie were accused in Senate debate of pussyfooting on conscription.

"Neither of them," said Henry F. Ashurst of Arizona, "has said or will say, *'We favor a draft in time of peace.'*

"No, masters of the language as they are, they will resort to euphemistic terms like *'selective service',"* the Senator charged.

Neither candidate likes to discuss the controversial conscription bill, Ashurst said. "They know that wrapped up in its four corners are 100 lawsuits and 100 mysteries and a thousand injustices!

"If you put this draft on the people," Mr. Ashurst warned his fellow Senators, "you will walk out of this chamber *having taken from your people more liberty than you ever gave them.*

"The bill is lop-sided. Very few men think alike; not two men agree upon what it is.

"My colleagues do not know what is in the bill: They do not know what is within its four corners.

"If called upon to go to the rostrum and answer questions as to what the bill means, they will give different answers because none of us know what the bill is," Senator Ashurst asserted.

Another battler for the people in the final hours of the conscription debate was Senator William J. Bulow of South Dakota.

In what he said was his third Senate speech in ten years, the South Dakota Senator denounced the draft and warned his colleagues against American involvement in European affairs.

Too many Americans want a *Monroe Doctrine* for this hemisphere, but insist on running affairs in Europe and Asia at the same time, Bulow warned.

"If the people of Germany want a dictator, let them have a dictator," Bulow said, "and if they want to fight, let them fight, providing that they do not bother us.

"Maybe three horsemen have started to ride — Hitler, Stalin and Mussolini, but let us make sure that the 'fourth horseman' shall not be a President of the United States!

"That is what we are coming to if we pass this bill."

Senator Bulow added: "I am glad my father came to this country to escape militarism and despotism; the same thing this bill would impose upon my descendants."

' ' '

Senator Claude Pepper

ALTHOUGH Florida claims the questionable distinction of being represented by Claude Pepper, he is frequently referred to as "the Senator from Great Britain" because he is so solicitous for the welfare of John Bull.

Last week it was reported that he was a punchee, being the receiver of a gentle tap on the back of the head from an irate mother.

The incident culminated an interview which 75 mothers had with Mr. Pepper on the subject of peace-time conscription.

The interview was rather one-sided.

The Floridian is reported to have said: "Women don't know anything about laws and government . . . Those things should be left to men. You women have no business in the Capitol. Go home and mind your own business."

Possibly, the business of women is to breed cannon fodder, as one might conclude from the Senator's alleged remarks.

It is a pity that the entire nation must suffer for Florida's "Great Mistake."

' ' '

Re: Mr. Harold Ickes

IT IS to be hoped that Mr. Roosevelt will not pursue the tactics of sending a boy to do a man's job.

In approving Mr. Harold Ickes' replying to Candidate Wendell Willkie's acceptance speech, Candidate Roosevelt committed a grave error.

First of all, Mr. Ickes is notorious for his antagonism to facts.

Second, he is a political Don Quixote who rejoices in demolishing windmills of his own fantastic construction.

Third, he irks and irritates individuals and groups of citizens, particularly at a time when these individuals and groups should be pacified and converted to the President's cause.

Fourth, if Mr. Roosevelt were exceedingly solicitous for his re-election, he would instruct his subordinates to use an oratorical balm on the general populace and forbid them to engage in spreading the seven years' itch.

Harold Ickes is one of several reasons why the President of the United States is finding it difficult to navigate the troubled waters of American politics.

' ' '

Labor Leaders for Conscription

SOME LABOR leaders of varying degrees of importance have signified their liking for peace-time conscription.

Granted that we are preparing for a war 4 or 5 years hence, do these labor leaders know to what they are committing themselves and their followers?

Nazi Germany spent 7 years preparing for the present war.

Her laboring class worked approximately 60 hours a week during that period.

They were paid no more than the equivalent of 40c an hour.

Conscription in America will mean that our factory workers will be compelled to work at least 60 hours a week and for approximately 40c an hour if we hope to catch up with Hitler, let alone to surpass him.

Sixty hours a week means 10 hours a day for 6 days.

Conscription means the end of labor unions.

It is the birth of Fascism or Naziism in America.

The heaviest sufferers will be the laboring men.

It is beyond our comprehension to figure out how any labor leader can applaud a program with such implications.

' ' '

Ambassador Bullitt

WILLIAM BULLITT, erstwhile ardent friend of Communists, is not satisfied with fulfilling the functions of a foreign ambassador.

Having returned to America, he joins the ranks of the war-mongers, pleading with our people, Pied Piper-like, to join in a war crusade.

Just how long Mr. Bullitt has been an ambassador for Mars is a mystery.

However, when the Petain government of France investigates the causes of the French defeat, the name of William Bullitt, most likely, will receive prominent attention.

The secret diplomacy in which he engaged will no longer be a secret.

The secret missions which he performed will no longer be secret.

Mr. Bullitt's activities will be spotlighted for the entire world.

The war-mongers in American Government and journalism will not try to disprove the findings of the Petain government, but will satisfy themselves by yelling "Propaganda!"

Members of the Senate and of the House of Representatives deeply resent Mr. Bullitt's charge that politicians in Congress act as "agents of Hitler," consciously or unconsciously, when they oppose conscription, resist the turning over of American warships to Great Britain or challenge Mr. Bullitt's contention that the United States will be invaded immediately if Great Britain is defeated.

According to Mr. Bullitt's logic, if you are not pro-British, you are pro-Nazi.

According to his reasoning, there is no such thing as being pro-American, independent of the filthy intrigues of Europe.

For seven long years efforts have been made, so it is suspected, to engage this country in Britain's war for the preservation of gold.

For seven long years, it is suspected, Mr. Bullitt has been a lackey in supporting the international *clique* who now hold the citizens of the United States of America by their throats.

Incredible as it may appear, this country has been handed over, *Constitution* and all, to the internationalists. And the Christian Americans resident here are being regimented to fight a Talmudic war that was carefully planned.

Senator Clark of Idaho said that Bullitt's speech was little short of treason.

He characterized it as "a demagogic appeal to the American people to go into a foreign, unprovoked war, for which he says we are not prepared."

And Representative John C. Schafer of Wisconsin indicated that the "new Deal Gestapo" should "get hold of Bullitt and lock him up."

Perhaps both the Senator and the Representative forgot that Bullitt is only following out orders.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Conscription of Wealth

NO THINKING PERSON wants the conscription of wealth.

In the sixteen principles of social justice it is asserted that *if there will be conscription of men, let there be conscription of wealth.*

This should not be interpreted to mean that either Father Coughlin in the past, or this National Magazine at the present time, advocates the conscription of wealth.

Both are opposed to it.

But both are opposed to the conscription of men to such a degree that we are of the opinion that if such a thing should happen, the conscription of wealth would follow.

Peace-time conscription, which will touch the lives of possibly 45-million persons, will militate against democracy, against Republicanism, against constitutionalism and against civil government.

It will be the first step to setting up a military government; an inevitable step towards dictatorship.

With 45-million men drafted and working for $30 a month each, few will be left to pay the taxes.

The burden will fall upon industry and private property to such a degree that the rate of taxation will be confiscatory.

Senator Josh Lee, Democrat from Oklahoma, would have Congress consider an amendment to the *Conscription Bill.* He would conscript wealth.

Senator Bennett Champ Clark, Democrat from Missouri, accused the Senate of being ready to conscript the blood and brawn of the country but anxious to let the wealth of the country go Scot-free.

Lee's amendment would have authorized the President, in time of war or imminence of war, to require citizens to lend money to the Government in proportion to their means. The Government, in return, would give the citizens bonds bearing 1 per cent interest.

All of which comes down to the question: Why war?

We go to war to preserve our rights, it seems; and we return from war having lost our rights.

We go to war to save our property; and we return from war having lost our property.

Modern wars have no winners.

All lose. Chaos results.

What a paradox is presented to us as we emerge from the Age of Reason!

It appears that reason is bankrupt and force has been substituted for it.

Roosevelt-Willkie

LAST WEEK SOCIAL JUSTICE indicated that of the two candidates Mr. Willkie appeared to be the better equipped to fill the office of the President of the United States of America.

Now, let us be factual.

In his acceptance speech Mr. Willkie gave his benediction to the New Deal reforms, to war against Hitler, to support of Great Britain, and to high taxes.

Like Mr. Roosevelt, Mr. Willkie did not go down to the basic causes of the depression.

For all practical purposes he said: "I am for the New Deal; I am against Hitler; I am for Great Britain; I am for conscription; I am for war. But I can do a better job than can Mr. Roosevelt."

Possibly, he can. And possibly, he cannot.

Of several things we Americans are certain:

Both candidates want war.

Both candidates want to conscript our sons.

Both candidates want to preserve international finance.

Mr. Willkie may have "missed the boat" in not taking advantage of a great national issue—the issue of war versus peace.

It is more than a coincidence that, more than a month ago, the editor of the *London Tablet* predicted that both the Republican and the New Deal candidates would have practically the same platform; and that this platform would be pro-British and pro-war.

Mr. Willkie's acceptance speech leaves only a choice of men and their future acts.

Party politics have so degenerated that they have become more notorious for their abuses and vices than for their strength and virtues.

Democrats are Republicans; Republicans are Democrats.

Both are New Dealers.

And New Dealers are radical and international.

Old-fashioned Americans know not for whom to vote. They have no candidate.

Trotzky

BRONSTEIN, who became Trotzky, is dead.

Violently he lived, violently he died.

While gallons of printer's ink were poured out to eulogize his revolutionary rise from the mean estate of a pant's presser to that of the merciless dictator of 160-million Russians, few drops were utilized to recall his multitudinous murders.

Trotzky was an atheist — a lover of hate.

Trotzky issued the command that sent millions of Christians to death, because they were Christians who believed in a God Whom Trotzky had sworn to purge from this world.

Jewish revolutionary that he was, he appeared to be the reincarnation of Lucifer's eldest offspring.

His name will be associated in the page of history with those of Lenin and Bela Kun.

That page should remind future generations of the degradation to which human nature can descend — a degradation surpassed only by the shame of the first Good Friday.

The Intent Looms Clearer

IT IS NOT the compromises and amendments, by which the *Conscription bill* will be hammered into acceptable form, but its original draft that shows the intent of the "planners" behind our peace-time conscription.

An international correspondent writing to the *Brooklyn Tablet,* remarks that the "original intent was to register every one up to 64 years of age, and that it was 65 years as Julius Ochs Adler drew the bill."

The correspondent observes further that the intent was obscure since men of 64 could hardly serve in the field. "But," warns this writer, "consider that this bill is two-edged; that it was drawn with the deliberate design to convert America into a totalitarian State, ruled by the Harvard club and their ilk; that the intent of the bill was not only to obtain forced labor service, but to coerce all men, in the uniform or out.

"Note that the language of the bill empowers the President to 'draft any individual or group.' Conscripts are called up by classes, not as individuals.

"Look again at that word 'individual'; remember the original age limit of 65, and ask yourself what would be the fate of a too troublesome of an objector to war-monger policies . . .

"Have no doubt of it; this bill was not only prepared to give us an army to fight abroad on behalf of an un-American ideology, but to suppress criticism as well.

"Nor is the present bracket of 21 to 31 any guarantee that the original 18 to 65 will not be restored as soon as they can get us into war and hysteria grips the land, artfully shipped up by those who want war for their personal aggrandizement."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

'I. I. C.'

LIKELY YOU do not know what these three letters stand for.

Soon their meaning will be disclosed to you in one of the greatest assaults against human intelligence ever perpetrated.

I. I. C. means *"If It Comes."*

A W.P.A. unit in New York City has been busy preparing huge posters to display throughout our land for the purpose of frightening America into subservience.

One tentative poster reads: *"Don't speak — an enemy may hear you!"*

Another reads: *"Report that slacker — he is your enemy!"*

And so on.

It is all part of the W.P.A. "I. I. C." campaign.

Public funds, therefore, are being used, sometimes under the direction of radicals, to impair the thinking apparatus of America.

Hysteria is being planned to stampede our people into war.

The "I. I. C." campaign is not limited to the W.P.A. artists in New York City.

It is not limited to poster psychology.

It is apparent in statements to the effect that Hitler plans to attack America.

It is prominent in the whole peace-time conscription campaign which plans to regiment this republic under the iron heel of a military dictator.

* * *

It is futile for readers of these lines to plan to hold indignation meetings.

Such meetings are negative.

Something positive must be done — and done quickly, else this entire nation will be used as a whip in the hand of those who seek revenge on Germany for actions allegedly committed by the Third Reich against certain of their co-racials living abroad.

And what a weak whip this nation is at the moment!

Its defenses are inadequate.

Its air force is under-sized.

Its population is torn asunder by internal strife.

Its working men are unemployed up to the 10-million mark.

Its taxation is perilous.

Its policies to remove depression are inefficient.

Witness $60-billion spent to restore prosperity — and what have been the results?

"I. I. C." — If It Comes!

* * *

It is difficult to know why the word "if" is incorporated in that advertising.

The *blitzkreig* already is here — and there are no "ifs" about it.

A mental, moral, political, legislative and administrative *blitzkreig* has done more to change the face of America in the past seven years than we thought were possible over a period of seventy years.

Complacently we stand like sheep in a slaughter pen awaiting a handful of Judas-goats to lead us to the guillotine of conscription.

A conscription of flesh and blood of the youth of the nation!

A conscription of the rights and privileges of the citizens of the nation!

A conscription of reason and sanity!

When will the counter-attack begin against the betrayers of America's traditions?

PM: Pro Marx

LAST SPRING, New Yorkers began to entertain serious doubts about a proposed new tabloid newspaper venture, *PM*, when its announced list of wealth backers included such names as "Gimbel," "Rosenwald," and "Dorothy Thompson."

These doubts were confirmed last June when the Moscow-trending innovation finally hit the newsstands.

Editorial and news comment conformed almost to a "T" to the Communist party line propaganda of the 1938 vintage.

Faced with a lagging circulation, after the novelty had worn off, *PM*, a fortnight ago, launched upon what can probably be recorded as the lowest type of journalistic crusade in history.

Such American patriots as Henry Ford and Col. Charles A. Lindbergh were openly accused of being leaders of "fifth columns."

The campaign continues. As was to be expected, Father Coughlin came in for a *PM* smear, with most of the derogatory material printed about the Radio Priest brazenly stolen from such Communist sources as *New Masses* and *Equality*.

The most imaginative lie of all in the series about Royal Oak was this whopper:

"If you will write to Father Coughlin or other Hitlerite publicists, you are likely to get *'Facts in Review'*."

"Facts in Review" is then described as a Nazi propaganda sheet published by the German Library of Information.

If one could be assured that *PM* was going to stay in business long enough, despite its imposing list of financial backers, it would be interesting to sue the slander sheet for libel and watch its ingenious editorial staff try to prove such wild invention.

The Reds Claim Credit

"FOR NEARLY a score of years, Communists have made every effort to organize the auto workers," says the *Daily Worker*.

"The Communists did this when many of the present members and leaders of the union were still too young to work, when many of them, oppressed by the Fords and Knudsens, did not know how to begin the gigantic task of organization in this greatest of all mass production industries.

"Among the delegates there were many who had fought side by side with fellow workers whom they knew to be Communists.

"Some of the Communists were among them at the U.A.W.A. convention.

"The workers know that it was much of this pioneering work by the Communists that laid the basis for the great success that came later with the big campaigns under the leadership of the C.I.O. and Lewis."

Well, well, well.

Is the Communist *Daily Worker* trying to "smear" the C.I.O. with the Communist tag? Hardly.

But isn't that just what was said about Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, many months ago, when we warned automobile workers about the same Red control that the *Daily Worker* now openly boasts about?

SOCIAL JUSTICE — and Father Coughlin—were dubbed "foes of organized labor" for warning about Red influence in labor leadership.

Is the *Daily Worker* now the "friend of labor" when it says the same thing?

'Not Subversive'

WE SEE BY THE papers that the Department of Justice is going to expose the propaganda operations of Germany, Italy, Russia and Japan in the United States.

There will be no exposure of British propaganda, the Department said, "because British propaganda does not threaten our security!"

Does this make it any clearer?

In contrast to the totalitarians, Great Britain wants America to go to war.

She wants us to give her our ships, our airplanes, our money, our resources, our men and everything we own, so that the Empire may be preserved.

Let British propaganda bear its bloody fruit, and millions of American corpses will again adorn foreign battlefields; our own Army and Navy will be denuded; and what remains of our Democracy will be destroyed.

Yet, in the offices of the United States Department of Justice, British propaganda is filed away in dossiers marked *"Not Subversive!"*

* * *

Lloyd's of London

SENATOR ROBERT R. REYNOLDS of North Carolina objects to the discrimination in favor of Lloyd's of London.

Operating outside the law, for all practical purposes — except in Kentucky and Illinois — Lloyd's are able to undersell American insurance companies by approximately 22½ per cent.

They pay no Federal taxes, no state taxes, except in Kentucky and Illinois, and conform to none of the regulations imposed upon American insurance firms, Senator Reynolds points out.

Yet, he declared recently in the Senate, they "right now are benefiting more by the defense program than any of the American insurance companies or those foreign companies who are complying with American laws."

Thirty-five of these firms in the United States employed more than 35,000 persons in 1939 and paid more than $50-million in salaries, Senator Reynolds declared.

One of the companies paid $1,960,000 in taxes and license fees — a sum representing 64 per cent of its total capitalization.

That money, presumably, stayed in the United States. Salaries went to American workers and taxes went to the United States Government.

Still, Reynolds charged, "the cream of the business (insurance on defense and other Federal projects) goes to London Lloyd's, which operates in a mystic, sub-rosa manner, who bootleg this insurance, so to speak, and by evading Federal laws and Federal taxation, are able to sell insurance to the American contractor about 22½ per cent cheaper than the companies who walk up to the counter and pay the tax collector."

The Senator cited a recent case wherein insurance on a $30-million War Department construction project was carried with Lloyd's. The contractor, according to the Senator, paid a premium of about $340,000.

In this and many similar instances the United States will collect not a cent in tax. An American company, however, would have to share part of that premium with the Government.

At a time like the present, when preparations for national defense is costing billions of dollars, the Government is remiss in its duty if it allows foreigners to escape the burdens of taxation, yet compete unfairly with American firms.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Year Enlistments 'Kept a Secret'

DETERMINED to inflict military regimentation upon the young men of America, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson has deliberately attempted to sabotage voluntary enlistments in the United States Army.

This charge is levelled against the new "interventionist" Cabinet member by Senator Burton K. Wheeler of Montana.

On the floor of the Senate, Mr. Wheeler charged that Secretary Stimson had "suppressed two pages of an official War Department magazine," *because they contained favorable reports on the Army's current voluntary enlistment program.*

The censored pages, Senator Wheeler said, contained a message from General George C. Marshall, Chief of Staff, commending the Army for its success in recruiting volunteers

"Secretary Stimson withheld distribution of the magazine. *Recruiting News*, while the two pages were removed, Senator Wheeler charged. He held a copy of the publication in his hand as he addressed the Senate.

Although the Senator demanded an explanation of this "censorship," none was forthcoming from the War Department.

A Department observer in the Senate was reported by the *Baltimore Sun* to have said that he knew nothing of the incident. He said that he himself was seeking an explanation.

* * *

Senator Wheeler charged that the War Department is "trying to cover up" and conceal from the public the actual success of voluntary recruiting in order to bring pressure for the adoption of the draft bill.

Furthermore, he said, the Army has actually been discouraging volunteers by "doing everything it can" to stop one-year enlistments, although they are now permissible under our present law.

The "progaganda" asserting that the volunteer system has failed is "one of the most despicable things" in American history, Senator Wheeler declared.

In this connection, it should be noted that the Army recently reported that a new peace-time record for three-year enlistments was established in June and July of this year.

Enlistments for June totaled 23,442 and those for July, 31,958 During the year ending last June 30, some 231,690 men sought to enlist and 159,403 were accepted.

* * *

One-year enlistments have been permissible in the Army since 1930, but few people know it because the War Department has soft pedaled the fact and has discouraged recruits from signing for the short term

Congressman Earl C. Michener of Michigan recently brought this to the attention of the House of Representatives, producing a letter of substantiation from Major General E. S. Adams, Adjutant General of the War Department.

"Few members of Congress apparently realize that . . . one-year enlistments are being received and have been received in the regular Army each year at least since 1930. I am satisfied, however, that the general 'option' has not been given to the young men desiring to enlist. In short the one-year period has not been publicized and in fact has been discouraged by the War Department through the recruiting stations."

Corroborating his charge, Representative Michener quoted the letter from Major General Adams, who wrote: "In recent years one-year enlistments have not been encouraged, since an efficient military force depends not only on the training of the individual soldier but also, and to a greater degree, on the co-ordinated training of all combat units that make up the fighting force. The rapid turnover and the short period of training that would result should one-year enlistments be general for the Regular Army of 375,000 men would seriously hamper the development of efficient combat units in that component."

The General says, in effect, that one-year enlistments are inadequate. Yet the *Burke-Wadsworth Conscription Bill* provides for one year of compulsory service.

Are we to understand that the "ante" will be raised to three years after the bill is passed and the initial opposition broken down?

Attacking the Army's aversion to the law providing for one-year enlistments, Senator David I. Walsh of Massachusetts asserted in the Senate that only men "with influence" and education were accepted on the short term basis. At the present, he said, there are only 166 "one-year men" in the entire Army.

Policies of the Navy are no better, he charged. Last year, without consulting Congress, it raised its enlistment period to six years. Now, he said, the Navy has a bill before Congress asking authority to keep men in the service as long as it wishes, regardless of their terms of enlistment.

Senatorial Halitosis

NEW DEAL Senators have learned that it's difficult to have the last word in arguing with West Virginia's Rush D Holt

During a recent verbal skirmish with Senator Sherman Minton of Indiana, Holt said, "The Senator from Indiana is not in shape to be on the floor."

Next day, Senator Josh Lee of Oklahoma — New Deal friend of New Dealer Minton, rose in defense of his colleague's honor.

"I was sitting by the Senator from Indiana talking with him and there was no indication he had been drinking," Lee declared. "In fact, I have served here with the Senator from Indiana for four years, and I have never seen him with even the slightest evidence of liquor on his breath."

"Mr. President," replied Senator Holt, "I am glad the Senator from Oklahoma has become an expert on halitosis, and I urge him to join the Listerine Company."

Exeunt, Senators Holt, Minton and Lee.

'Suffer Little Children'

HAS THE United States of America substituted political charity for Christian charity?

"*Suffer little children to come unto Me,*" said Christ.

Most of our newspapers, and many Government leaders, now say: "Suffer little British children to come to America; but do not feed the starving children of Norway, Denmark, Holland, Belgium or France."

A bill recently passed the House of Representatives allowing American ships to cross the ocean and bring back children from England.

No bill provided for the transportation of food to the tens of millions of European children who are threatened with starvation this Winter.

The American ships will cross the Atlantic "in ballast." This means the ships will not be allowed to carry cargo of any kind.

Yet, if the empty holds of these European-bound ships could be filled with the surplus food crops of this abundant country, millions of European children might not suffer disease, and perhaps death from malnutrition.

But Great Britain announced that it would not allow American ships to do this. The embattled empire intends to starve out the entire Continent of Europe in order to carry on its desperate war against Germany. A war declared when Hitler invaded Poland to seize Danzig and the disputed Corridor.

Ex-President Hoover's appeal to allow food shipments to the countries conquered by the Nazis was flatly turned down by London.

American war-mongers and Anglophiles slavishly bowed their obedience to this murderous edict, and now strive feverishly in their propaganda press to justify the British position.

Meanwhile, we go ahead with plans to rescue British babies only.

Christian charity makes no distinctions between children of any race or creed when they are in need.

Washington and Wall Street do.

It seems to us that the Christians of America ought to rise up with one overwhelming voice and force Congress to permit aid to all suffering children regardless of nationality

Ships crossing to England should carry cargoes of food for the needy children of Europe.

* * *

There are reported to be more than 11-million children in the British Isles under 16, the age limit set in Congress.

Every British child in the category will be permitted to come to this country, provided he can get transportation.

The largest number of these children that could possibly be carried in American ships —even if there were no blockade—would be 50,000 a month.

Thus only an infinitesimal fraction of Britain's children could possibly be brought to America within a year.

Nevertheless, we must try to save as many children as we are able from the fury of Nazi bombs.

But, though limited in respect to the number of passengers they could carry, these American ships could transport enough food to Europe on their outward passage to save other millions from starvation this Winter.

This is not merely a sensible proposal: it is a Christian proposal.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Russia's Intentions

"SOVIET RUSSIA will not be satiated until the Hammer and Sickle banner of Communism flies over all Europe and the whole world," observes the *Detroit Times.*

"The great peril of civilization is that Russia does not dream idly.

"Let the present European belligerents fight their war to its final conclusion if they must.

"But let them not be confused by what that conclusion will be," the *Times* editorial warns.

"If there is any real victory it will be a Russian victory, with Europe completely ruled by Communism."

* * *

Of course, Russia will not attack Germany, or Italy, or Great Britain, while they are powerful. But why, indeed, should the Soviet risk their might, when they are wasting it on themselves?

Russia is not taking any part in this war. But that does not say that Soviet Russia does not expect to gain enormously from it.

The U.S.S.R. has about half of Poland, nearly as much of Finland, all of Esthonia, Latvia and Lithuania, and steadily increasing portions of Roumania.

"The United States, like Russia, should determine to take no part in the present war," the *Times* warns. "The United States has many reasons for keeping out of war . . . But if we had no other reason, the prospect of being a slave State under the heel of Communist Russia should be reason enough alone to warn us against the actual fate of much of formerly free Europe and the precariously impending fate of the rest of it."

* * *

But Soviet Russia's present course is more than an idle waiting.

Soviet Premier Molotov, in his recent statement, said that he hesitated to dwell on Russian relations with the United States "because there is nothing good that can be said about them."

Less than a week later, the New Deal Administration of this country renewed the trade agreement with the Soviets, with as little press attention as it was possible to control.

Referring to deposits in the United States of Esthonian, Latvian and Lithuanian gold, which were "frozen" by Government order after those nations set up Soviet regimes and "asked" incorporation in the U.S.S.R., Molotov threatened that the United States Government *"will be held responsible for this illegal action."*

Another ominous indicator of Soviet Russia's intentions is shown by the report of Foreign Correspondent Hallet Abend in the *New York Times.*

According to this writer, the Russians have been systematically strengthening their position on Big Diomede Island in the Bering Strait and the extreme northeastern area of the Soviet Union generally.

The correspondent speaks of soldier and workmen colonists who have been sent into this distant Siberian region; of the construction of fortresses, submarine bases and air fields; of the exclusion of foreigners from the section, and even the removal elsewhere of the native population.

He also cites passages from the Soviet press which seem to suggest official Soviet encouragement of a desire to regain Alaska for the Russians.

He reports: "There is an intensification of the campaign for 'recovery of rich lands that once belonged to Russia but do not belong to the U.S.S.R. because they were ineptly lost or corruptly sold later when the dynasty became decadent.'

"Since the only territory that Czarist Russia ever sold outright was Alaska, the implications are plain," says Mr. Abend.

* * *

Nazis Tighten Trade Grip

DENMARK, Switzerland and all continental countries are being fitted into the system of "multilateral clearing agreements" which the Germans have devised to nullify the importance of gold in trade relations in Europe.

Foreign correspondents of the *Chicago Tribune* report that "a long term plan of economic guidance" is being drafted for the "backward" countries. It will operate under a rigid exchange control, which is expected to eliminate what has long been one of Nazi Germany's most pressing problems — that of obtaining enough foreign exchange to do business with other nations.

"From time to time," the report says, "articles in the German financial press have hinted that the mark will replace other currencies in countries conquered by or controlled by Germany. In any case, it is a foregone conclusion that currencies of most European countries will be pegged to the mark, providing Germany wins the war.

"A prominent German financial authority this week gave Germany's neighbors a hint as to what they must expect.

" 'After political order is established, it is up to the various governments to control agriculture, industry, mining and transportation so as to adapt these countries to the new order,' he said. 'They must be attuned to German economy.'

"The multilateral clearing agreements will operate in such a manner that no other country will be able to develop industry to compete with Germany.

"Germany will furnish the finished manufactured goods, and in return will buy grain, oil, tobacco, food and raw materials of all kinds. American businessmen have been told that it is planned to 'rejuvenate France only as an agricultural nation with her industries strictly limited.'

"It is explained further that territories occupied by Germany since the beginning of the war are already under German control as far as dealing with States to the southeast are concerned in that all goods transported across Germany must first obtain a license before they can be moved.

"A further license is required if the occupied territories wish to deal with Hungary or Jugo-Slavia.

"In addition to reshuffling European economics as a preliminary to postwar operations, the Germans are concerned now in seeing that the occupied territories do not attempt to buy anything from the neutral countries which the Germans need themselves."

* * *

Foreign Trade

ROBERT P. VANDERPOEL, financial editor of the *Chicago Herald-American,* writes as follows in an article entitled "Americans Must Learn Quickly Sound Principles of International Trade":

"There has never been a time in the history of this country when it has been so important that the people have a clear realization of sound principles of foreign trade and exchange as at this moment.

"Unfortunately, such an understanding is woefully lacking.

"It is true that we have made some progress in this direction in recent years, but it has been slow, painfully slow, and today events are moving fast, breathtakingly fast.

"German Economic Minister Walther Funk may have made one of those psychological mistakes for which the Germans are famous when he attempted to break up the Havana conference with the threat that Nazi-dominated Europe, with unity of economic control, would deal only with individual, free, and sovereign States of South America.

"Great efforts have been made to convince the people of this hemisphere that they need Germany. It is true that co-operative effort is always the most beneficial for all. But it is Europe that needs this hemisphere, first and foremost.

"It has been predicted that millions in Europe will starve this winter. They will starve for the foodstuffs of the Americas.

"The economics of the American nations may be upset as a result of the war's disturbance to international trade, but people will not starve on this side of the ocean.

"Who needs who?

"Europe needs America. The Germans know this, but they know, too, that if they can prevent, through threats and blustering, unified action in this hemisphere they can outbargain each individual or each nation through their concentration of control.

"Thus it is that they are using every effort to prevent an economic arrangement here by voluntary action comparable to the one that Hitler is determined to force upon Europe through threats and conquest.

"While Funk's position was inconsistent, arrogant, and offensive, he did hit upon the weak spot in the American picture and the thing that even today is making the task of the representatives of this country at Havana difficult. Funk declared:

" 'If the United States wishes to contribute again to the shaping of a world economy it must abandon its false methods of wishing to be the greatest creditor country and at the same time the greatest exporting nation. These are things that cannot be reconciled.'

"Every economist the world over knows that this is true. Some of our statesmen, notably Secretaries Hull and Wallace, know that it is true.

"But too many American people, lacking in economic knowledge, confused by false advisers, listening to selfish pressure groups, have not understood, and as a result too many of our politicians have followed mistaken paths, paths that have created world trouble and unless deserted quickly now threaten world cataclysm."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



This is the "Sunrise Period" in our great *"VICTORY CONTEST"* now entering its third week.

This is the time when subscriptions you get for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine will count more than in any future period.

This is the time for you to put in the work towards winning the Grand Prize of $2,500.00; the Second Prize of $1,750.00; the Third Prize of $1,200.00; or one of the other 134 handsome cash awards.

The "Sunrise Period" of this great contest will close on October 21.

Between now and that date you have a golden chance to win — and win big.

Between now and that date you can rally your friends into a solid and unbeatable group who will work for your success.

YOU CAN WIN THAT GRAND PRIZE OF $2,500.00 AS EASILY AS ANY PERSON IN THE CONTEST.

Have you entered this great contest? Are you already at work? Then — why not?

How more easily can you win a neat little fortune in CASH than by obtaining subscriptions for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine?

Remember, there is a commission of TEN PER CENT on all business turned in — unless you win one of the nine leading prizes. When you do that, you won't even think about commission.

But, the big point is — you can't lose in this contest. Everybody is paid for his or her work — and there is always before you the chance to win $2,500.00 in cash.

It is easy to enter this contest.

All you need do is fill out the Nomination Blank on this page, down there at the bottom to the right. That means 30,000 Complimentary Votes right at the very start.

Then you obtain your first subscription (your own or somebody else's). That means another 120,000 Complimentary Votes.

Send the Nomination Blank and your first subscription to the Contest Manager right away. You will also be credited with the regular 120,000 Votes for a First Period yearly subscription.

That all means you will leap right into the middle of the Contest with an early vote of 270,000.

From then on you work a little every day — get your friends to work for you — write to everybody you can depend upon for a subscription — and your chance to win the Grand Prize is as good as anybody's.

All bars are down in this great contest. There are no bonus votes to clutter up your mind. No club votes. Just the straight schedule for Regular Votes as shown on this page. That schedule will hold throughout the Contest. Read it carefully.

There is no distinction between "new" and "old" subscriptions. We want subscriptions from everybody, regardless of these former distinctions.

The "Sunrise Period" is passing. You must hurry. On the day your Nomination Blank reaches our offices, you will be sent all materials to work with, and given full instructions as to how to proceed. Nothing hard. Nothing but a bit of work each day — and — VICTORY.

This great *"VICTORY CONTEST"* can be a big triumph in your life ——

IF YOU START EARLY!

No Losers IN THIS CONTEST

You Get 10% Commission

There are no losers in the great "VICTORY CONTEST."

Besides enjoying the opportunity of striking for his or her share of 137 cash prizes totaling $12,000.00, every contestant who falls short of winning one of the first nine cash prizes, will be paid a commission of TEN PER CENT on all business turned in.

You can make nice money as a contestant during the next 15 weeks — and every subscription you get for SOCIAL JUSTICE brings you closer to the Grand Prize of $2,500.00.

Contestants are asked not to deduct commissions. Send the whole amount to the Contest Manager. Commission checks will be sent to all contestants at the end of each Contest period.

The thing to do is to enter the Contest this very day. Remember — by sending in your Nomination Blank and your first subscription (your own or that of somebody else) you will receive a Complimentary Starting spurt of 150,000 Votes.

Commission of TEN PER CENT is paid contestants because SOCIAL JUSTICE wants to show its appreciation of the efforts of everybody in the Contest.

Of course, you will aim at the Grand Prize. That is the correct and smart thing to do.

At the same time — keep that commission in mind.

A NEW KIND OF CONTEST

'Bonus' and 'Club' Votes Out

Contestants in our last two circulation drives complained that the system by which vote-credits were given was too complicated. There were regular votes, bonus votes and club votes.

Bonus votes were necessary in previous contests because of the distinction between "new" and "old" subscriptions (renewals). More credit was given for a new subscription than for a renewal. This credit was given in the form of bonus votes.

In our new "VICTORY CONTEST" no distinction is drawn between "new" and "old" subscriptions. Both are on an even footing as to vote-credit. A renewed subscription counts as many votes to the contestant as a new subscription. Therefore, bonus votes are unnecessary.

In previous contests "club votes" were given on the basis of money turned in. They were really superfluous, because the contestant who obtains the largest number of subscriptions usually turns in the largest sum of money in the natural order of things.

All of these useless appendages have been stripped from the "VICTORY CONTEST." There is a set and unchangeable Vote-Credit Schedule which will hold throughout the Contest. The contestant who receives the largest number of Votes, according to that schedule, will win the Grand Prize of $2,500.00. The contestant who is credited with the second-largest number of votes, according to that schedule, will be awarded the Second Prize of $1,750.00. And so on right down through the 137 Cash Prizes.

All are on an equal footing. No contestant could possibly have an advantage. The hardest and most consistent and persevering workers will win.

$20,000 WAITING

GRAND PRIZE	$2500.00
Second Prize	1750.00
Third Prize	1200.00
Fourth Prize	900.00
Fifth Prize	700.00
Sixth Prize	600.00
Seventh Prize	550.00
Eighth Prize	450.00
Ninth Prize	350.00
101 additional prizes	2000.00
27 special cash prizes	1000.00
Commissions estimated	8000.00
GRAND TOTAL	$20,000.00

YOU KNOW 20 PERSONS WHO WOULD SUI

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Help to Bring More Truth to Your Country!

Every man or women, boy or girl, who takes part in our great "VICTORY CONTEST" is contributing to the preservation of American liberty, American Christianity and American ideals.

Think the above statement over. Read it through again. Then realize that every subscription to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine results in one more American citizen receiving the TRUTH about our country.

Remember, Communists "never sleep" in the sense that they are ever at work on the minds of Americans, sowing pernicious doctrines.

Nazi agents are busy, trying to tend American thought away from Americanism and towards the idea of totalitarianism.

We Americans must sleep less than ever before. At all times we must be alert and engaged in the important task of offsetting these adverse and subversive tendencies.

SOCIAL JUSTICE leads in the fight for Americanism. It leads because it has no bosses. No advertiser can tell SOCIAL JUSTICE what stand to take. No politician can dictate its policies.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is four-square for Americanism, under the Constitution, and along Christian ways of life.

The purpose of the "VICTORY CONTEST" is to drive the national circulation of SOCIAL JUSTICE to the half-million mark THIS FALL.

If this is done the magazine will be read by close to THREE MILLION Americans.

Surely, you want to help this great cause—this crucial drive for MORE TRUTH.

By entering the "VICTORY CONTEST," you will be helping SOCIAL JUSTICE and America. And FORTUNE awaits you as a winner.

So, enter right now.

A Call to Old and New Contestants

Were you entered as a contestant in our first circulation contest one year ago? If so, you have had some experience. Perhaps you were a runner-up. Perhaps you did not land in the big money, but made a nice profit on your work by way of commissions.

Now, if you have this experience, you KNOW you could have won the Grand Prize or one of the other large cash awards—IF YOU HAD WORKED A LITTLE HARDER.

You could have won, if you had organized your friends. You could have won if you spent your evenings on the telephone or out nailing down subscriptions.

You now have another chance to strike for a big winning. That chance is afforded you by our "VICTORY CONTEST" just starting.

We want all old contestants to enter and try again. Nothing in this world was ever won by quitting. Enter right now and stick to the very end.

Remember the commission in this contest—10 per cent on all business done below that of the nine prize-winners. You see to it that you are a prize-winner—but, at the same time, remember the commission feature.

But, perhaps you have never taken part in a great Contest such as this? Do you know the thrill of the fight? Have you experienced the delight of winning a prize?

If you have not enjoyed these things, here is your chance. Thousands of Americans know the thrill of contest success every month. There is no feeling quite like it.

Now, before you can be a contest-winner, certain things have to be done. In this type of competition, prizes are won by work—work—and still more work.

But, there is a way to work at a contest. There is a way to win. Here's the way to proceed:

First, you enter right away. You enter early because you know you will receive the highest vote-credit for your subscriptions during the First Period of the Contest.

As soon as you have entered by returning your Nomination Blank and "Start-Off" subscription, you start to organize. The chances are you will have your particular locality all to yourself, with no competition. Fine!

It is important that you immediately ADVERTISE the fact that you are in the Contest. Tell all your friends. Get your friends to tell their friends. Induce the editor of your local paper or your school publication to run a little story about your being in the Contest. Use the telephone. Use the mails. Write to everybody you know you can depend upon for a subscription. Get them to subscribe early—during the First Period.

Remember—there will be no contestant who has a better chance to win than yourself. It all depends on how hard and consistently you "hit the ball."

RULES of the Contest

1. Open to any person more than 16 years of age, except employees of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, their families or close relatives. The management reserves the right to reject objectionable nominations.

2. Contestants may obtain subscriptions anywhere and may be assisted by any person. Candidates must make a cash report during each of the final two weeks of the Contest.

3. Votes are not transferable from one active contestant to another active contestant. Contestants cannot withdraw in favor of other active contestants; nor can contestants give or transfer subscriptions to another contestant.

4. Votes will be issued on paid-in-advance subscriptions (new or renewal) for SOCIAL JUSTICE, including Complimentary Votes for "Start-Off" and Nomination Coupons.

5. Votes are issued subject to verification.

6. No statement, assertion or promise, either written or verbal, made by any of the solicitors, agents, contestants, or any other than published in SOCIAL JUSTICE, will be recognized by the publishers or the Contest management.

7. All Contestants are held responsible for all moneys collected. They must remit such amounts in full on schedule at frequent intervals or on demand to the Contest Department.

8. Prizes are to be delivered to winners without cost as soon as the official judges announce the results of the Contest. In case of ties duplicate prizes will be awarded.

9. The management reserves the right to place additional prizes on the list.

10. The Contest opens on August 19, 1940, and closes December 2, 1940.

11. Any questions or controversies that may arise are to be settled by the Contest Manager, and his decision will be final and conclusive.

12. In event of typographical or other error, it is understood that neither the publishers nor the Contest Management shall be held responsible, except for the necessary correction of the same.

13. By entering the Contest, a Contestant agrees to accept the decisions of the Contest Management and official judges as final.

VOTE-CREDIT SCHEDULE for ALL CONTEST PERIODS

SUBSCRIPTION	FIRST PERIOD Aug. 19—Oct. 21	SECOND PERIOD Oct. 22—Nov. 4	THIRD PERIOD Nov. 5—Nov. 18	FOURTH PERIOD Nov. 19—Dec. 2
2 yr. ($6.00)	240,000 votes	220,000 votes	210,000 votes	205,000 votes
1 yr. ($3.00)	120,000 votes	100,000 votes	90,000 votes	85,000 votes
10 mos. ($2.50)	100,000 votes	80,000 votes	70,000 votes	65,000 votes
8 mos. ($2.00)	80,000 votes	60,000 votes	50,000 votes	45,000 votes
6 mos. ($1.50)	60,000 votes	40,000 votes	30,000 votes	25,000 votes
4 mos. ($1.00)	40,000 votes	20,000 votes	10,000 votes	5,000 votes

Here's How to Start with 270,000 VOTES

'Start Off' SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

(Good for 120,000 Complimentary Votes)

Return this Coupon to the Contest Manager with your first yearly subscription payment ($3.00), either old or new, and you will receive 120,000 Complimentary Votes, in addition to the votes allowed on Vote-Credit Schedule.

Name of Subscriber _____

Address _____

City or Town _____

State _____

New ☐ Old ☐

(Your own subscription or renewal will count.)

This coupon, accompanied by the Nomination Blank and your first yearly subscription, will start you in the great Contest with 150,000 Complimentary Votes, plus the Vote-Credit on the subscription, as shown in the Vote-Credit Schedule, making a total of 270,000 Votes. Only one of these coupons will be credited to a Contestant.

NOMINATION BLANK

(Good for 30,000 Complimentary Votes)

_____, 1940

Contest Manager, SOCIAL JUSTICE,
Royal Oak, Michigan.

Please enter my name as a Contestant in the "VICTORY CONTEST." I understand this Blank filled out and sent in will entitle me to 30,000 Votes and that my first yearly subscription, old or new, will entitle me to 120,000 Complimentary Votes.

My Name is _____

My Address is _____

City or Town _____ *State* _____

(*You may nominate yourself or any other person*)

ONLY ONE OF THESE COUPONS WILL BE CREDITED TO A CONTESTANT

CRIBE THIS WEEK . . . ASK THEM NOW!

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

A Jewish Front

Is THERE a Jewish Front?

Is there a definite organization among Jews, approved by the constituents of their group and empowered by them, to maintain and promote Jewish culture?

What data are there, if any, to clarify this question?

Says the Rev. Edward Brophy, pastor of the Precious Blood Church, Long Island City, whose new book "The Christian Front," was publicized recently in SOCIAL JUSTICE:

"Of old, the Jews were made the Chosen People of God and followed a Theocratic form of government. They were led by a specific mental theory and subjected to a specific moral code, both of which infiltrated every phase of their existence, even the most trivial.

"They rigidly excluded all outside influence, frowned on all non-Jewish ideas and avoided and even penalized all foreign contact.

"This exclusiveness was imposed upon them by their religious Leaders, that, as a people, they might realize their vocation to protect, practice and preserve the worship of the True God; that they might be the safe custodians of Divine Revelation; and, that, hoping in the promise of a Redeemer, they might never yield to the rejection of a Supernatural and Spiritual Messiah in favor of a natural and materialistic one, but, continue untainted and with great religious enthusiasm in the exercise of their high and special calling, until the coming of Christ, the Anointed One, the Emmanuel, Whom they could recognize through the words of their Prophets and, to Whom, as the Son of God, they would submit themselves and partake, with the Gentiles, of the common benefits of the Redemption, which Christ came to bestow.

* * *

"Their Leaders rejected this plan. And even after the Dispersion they continued to manifest the results of their preceding development in the fact that though the Promise of the *Old Testament* had been fulfilled in the establishment of the *New Testament* and though their Theocratic government had ceased, they continued their efforts to remain a separate people.

"So in modern times they describe themselves as a distinct race and sometimes as a distinct nation. They zealously cultivate and protect their integrity in this respect. In all places and at all times, they hold to traditions, the fruit of a characteristic mentality and separate moral code and devote themselves to a concerted effort to continue the effectiveness of these traditions among their own people. They call frequent and emphatic attention to their own achievements, strive for favorable positions in occupations and professions which they believe to be of their common advantage and, through skilled leadership and organization, they endeavor to multiply opportunities for their own. Whatever ascendancy they may attain in the world of finance, the press, the radio, the stage, education or politics, they employ chiefly for their own separate interests.

* * *

"Now consider some of the general and subsidiary organizations which keep alive the flame of common interest and weld together all their forces; not to mention others, there are the well-known World Zionist Organization, the American Jewish Council, the Jewish Agency for Palestine, the General Jewish Council, the American Jewish Youth, the Jewish Labor Committee, the American Jewish Committee, the B'nai B'rith, the American Jewish Alliance, the New York Kehillah, The Haddassa and the National Council of Jewish Women, The National Council of Young Israel. And their success, in the aims they foster and the projects they undertake is furthered by a strong Jewish press.

"These elements of interest and power constitute a definite organization for the preservation of Jewish culture and for the promotion of Jewish integrity and strength. Therefore, it can be said that there is a Jewish Front.

* * *

"Though the Jewish Front may be classified as a good 'Front,' it is composed of human elements and it may not always be easy to keep it entirely without spot or stain. It may make mistakes, just as other good 'Fronts' may do. Sometimes, in an otherwise proper zeal, it may exaggerate or distort issues.

"For instance, when after the arrest of the seventeen young men, the press digressed from the essence of the case to make mere membership in the Christian Front a heinous crime it would have advanced the best interests of the Jewish Front if some of its leaders had protested against the besmirching of the name, Christian, and had made the wise distinctions which the case seemed to demand.

"The Jewish Front would thus have made it manifest that it abhors all misrepresentation. So, likewise, in the persecutions of Christians in Mexico, in Russia and in Spain, where numerous nuns and priests were slaughtered and Hell itself seemed to have been let loose, even a slight word of sympathy, such as it now gives to the poor people in Finland, would have been much to its honor, sincerity and regard for common humanity.

"If, when Rabbi Stephen Wise is reported by the press as having boldly stated that the Catholic Church is responsible for the War in Europe and when he vented his opprobrium on good Cardinal Hayes because he prayed for the success of Franco's arms and when they read the distortions of truth and bitter anti-Christian mouthings in such of its papers as the *Jewish Examiner,* some small portion of the Jewish Front had announced its displeasure at such injustice towards Christians, it would have been much to the credit of the mental and moral balance of the Jewish Front.

"If when Communists express their desire and determination to destroy Christian culture, when the Communistic *New Masses* and *Equality* publicly insult members of the Catholic Hierarchy and vilify the practices of the Christian religion; when it sees the *Jewish Examiner* organize an unwarranted and offensive intrusion into the official business of a Catholic Archbishop and publicly insult and browbeat him to wreak its vengeance on a Catholic priest, who warns against the machinations of atheistic Jews and praises, protects and assists religious Jews, a consistency of view which the Jewish Front would find it difficult to disturb, if, under such circumstances, the Jewish Front had shown its disapproval of such tactics, its act would vindicate its boastful love of democracy and tolerance and, like casting bread upon the waters, it would have been returned to them a hundredfold."

* * *

Fan-Dance-Ic Birkhead

THE JEWISH *B'nai B'rith Messenger* of August 16, 1940, prints the following:

"Col. Charles A. Lindbergh this week was accused by L. M. Birkhead, national director of Friends of Democracy, of following the official Nazi line and giving 'aid and comfort to Hitlerism and the enemies of American democracy.'

"In a telegram from Birkhead to Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson, Col. Stimson was asked to clarify Lindbergh's present connection with the armed or reserve forces of the United States Army.

"Birkhead recalled that Lindbergh still possesses a Nazi decoration received from General Goering in Berlin in 1938."

Remember? Birky is not the goon squad. He is only the lone goose squad minus the feathers, who does the quacking for his little Jew boyfriends.

Remember? It was Birky who had Sally Rand do the fan dance in his church when he found it difficult to engage customers by preaching old-line religion.

Again we say: Go on home, Birky, and put on some intellectual feathers. Be decent.

Jews Out of Europe

THE JEWISH-OWNED *Philadelphia Record* prints the following United Press news item originating at Berlin, Germany:

"A European continent without Jews — who would be banished to some remote corner of the globe—will be one of the prerequisites for a 'German peace,' *Das Schwarze Korps,* organ of Adolf Hitler's SS Elite Guard, said today.

"The paper warned that Jews everywhere in Europe would be driven from their 'hideouts,' despite the present observance of 'camouflaged restraint.'

"Norway, Denmark, Holland, Belgium and both occupied and unoccupied France were nations singled out for mention.

" 'Jews in these countries,' said the paper, 'have readily disappeared from public life and withdrawn from civil offices and editorial rooms.'

"A similar trend is noticeable in all neutral Europe, the paper stated."

* * *

Meanwhile, prominent Jews are coming to America to supplement the number of prominent Jews already resident here.

As a rule, Jews are so far superior in intelligence, in initiative, and perseverance to Gentiles that they cannot help but rise to the positions of power and thereby gain control over the arteries of commerce, educational and political life.

Department stores, radio, finance, the motion picture industry, the clothing trade, and numerous other avenues of profit, find Jewish gentlemen standing guard over them.

And in the Government the Jews are to be congratulated for the manner in which their sons have risen to top ranking positions.

If the Jews will be driven out of Europe, will influential members of that race join the Rothschilds who already have fled to America?

Will this country experience the further rise to power of the sons of Abraham?

One doubts the wisdom of this policy of driving these Jews out of Europe.

One questions the wisdom of Jews flocking to America.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Mr. David Lasser's 'Confession'

Workers Alliance Was Red After All

UNTIL the German-Soviet pact, anyone who said that the Workers Alliance was Communist-controlled was attacked by liberals, college presidents, and Reds as a Fascist or an anti-Semite.

On August 16, 1938, David Lasser, at that time president of the organization, declared publicly that testimony before the Dies Committee describing the Alliance as a Communist Front outfit was "utterly ridiculous."

February 20, 1939, Mr. Lasser categorically denied a statement from Norman Thomas which alleged that Reds were seeking to "control or destroy" the Alliance and charged that Mr. Thomas' attack was "false and unwarranted."

June 7, 1939, Mrs. Franklin D. Roosevelt praised the organization in a Workers Alliance meeting in Washington and was made an honorary member. Among other boosters of the group was Helen Harris, New York City administrator of the National Youth Commission.

But now it appears that the Workers Alliance was Red all the time.

In a belated "confession" in the *New York Post* a week ago, Mr. Lasser had the following to say:

"After five years as a national president of the Workers Alliance of America, I resigned June 18 because the organization was being used as an instrument of Communist propaganda at the expense of its original aims.

"From top to bottom it had come under Communist control!"

The Dies Committee knew this more than a year ago. So did Mr. Lasser, yet he chose to deny it until after he had resigned.

* * *

The Workers Alliance, says Mr. Lasser, was free of Communist taint until 1936, when it absorbed the Red-dominated Unemployment Councils, thus forming a single national organization to represent the unemployed and relief workers.

From that time on Communist influence in the Alliance grew beyond all proportion to the Reds' actual number of members. On June 25 last, when Mr. Lasser's resignation was accepted, the Alliance's national administrative committee consisted of four persons, three of whom were party functionaries.

Mr. Lasser writes: "Herbert Benjamin (Communist boss of the unemployed) is perhaps right when he says: 'A leader in the Workers Alliance either becomes a Communist or quits'."

The tactics used by the Reds to gain control of an organization, which by 1939 claimed a membership of 600,000 (half of it paid up), forms the most interesting reading in Mr. Lasser's series of articles

To discredit all leaders that didn't belong or weren't subservient to the party, Reds would use the principle of the smear. In such a way non-Communist Workers Alliance chiefs would be called "drunkards," while others would be accused of embezzlement or "loose morals."

New leaders would be asked to join the party. No criticism of the Communists would be allowed in meetings and anyone who overstepped this ban would be threatened with expulsion.

"Recently I received a letter from the Los Angeles local of the Alliance," Mr. Lasser writes, "noting that the Communists there attack President Roosevelt, the Democratic Party, Congress, England, France, anybody they please, but when any one attacks the Communists they are thrown out of the local."

* * *

As Communist Party influence strengthened within the Alliance, the party would place men in positions of authority, or remove them, with equal ease. Lasser said

"Thus a leader of our movement would suddenly resign, and we would learn that he had been transferred by the party to other work, or he would ask for a leave of absence to attend a Communist training school

"One recent instance comes to mind. Max Weiner declared he was resigning as State Secretary of the Pennsylvania Alliance, and efforts were made by our national office to persuade him to stay.

"It developed that his decision depended on who would have the last word—Herbert Benjamin, representing the national center of the Communist Party in unemployed work, or the eastern Pennsylvania organizer for the Communist Party

"At a meeting of an important Workers Alliance committee to consider this question, I was invited to leave so that the party people alone could settle the matter

"Weiner subsequently became eastern Pennsylvania Communist organizer.

* * *

"Of course, once a Communist, an Alliance leader becomes a political pawn. Rarely he rebels

"Frank A. Franks of Lincoln, Nebraska, was told that on his tours to set up Alliance locals, he must also set up a Communist Party unit the same night

"He rebelled, got out of the Alliance and the Communist Party."

* * *

Up to the signing of the Nazi-Soviet Pact, Mr. Lasser relates, Reds urged Alliance members to support collective security, repeal the *Neutrality Act*, aid the "democracies" of France and Britain, and unite against Hitler

Then, overnight, the entire Communist foreign policy changed. France and Britain were called "imperialistic and instigators of war," the *Neutrality Act* was defended, and Alliance papers began printing Nazi propaganda.

Such reversals of policy bewildered the membership and cost the Alliance thousands of members

Before the war started, Communists in the Alliance ran the gamut of name-calling in their attacks against Hitler.

After the war began, they refused to allow Mr. Lasser to make public a resolution stating that the Alliance was opposed to a Nazi victory

Another time when Mr. Lasser proposed a resolution which contained among other things a criticism of the "unprovoked aggression against Finland," it was promptly tabled

* * *

Communist vagaries in regard to domestic policies also contributed much to breaking up the internal strength of the Alliance.

Before 1936, Reds were against Roosevelt and his relief programs. Then as the President began to attack Germany, Reds rushed to his support and backed his W.P.A. program.

After Von Ribbentrop flew to Moscow, however, Communists backtracked and called the W.P.A. "forced labor." President Roosevelt, meanwhile, had become a warmonger and a "minion of Wall Street."

Naturally as the Reds went, so went the policies of the Alliance, with the result that rank-and-file members were told to support the W.P.A. one year and denounce it the next

"The net effect of Communist domination of the Workers Alliance has been to drive away from it three-quarters of its membership, alienate public confidence and limit support from progressives and labor.

"The condition of the unemployed is desperate. Work and relief programs are inadequate, unplanned and barely ameliorate rather than solve the basic problem of unemployment and poverty.

* * *

"The battle for social justice must be fought primarily by the unemployed themselves. They want and need a movement in which they can proudly unite their own needs and their essential love of country.

"Such a movement can and must be built."

These are brave words. But on the basis of his past record it is doubtful if Mr. Lasser will carry them out.

Although he disagrees with the Communist Party, Mr. Lasser is an atheistic Marxist, and a former member of the Socialist Party

He has admitted being a stooge for the Communists; who knows but that he won't be one again?

He has several times in the last few years denied publicly that the Alliance was Communist controlled; now he reverses himself.

Mr. Lasser, furthermore, gave his unstinted support to the Red, church-burning rabble which composed the Loyalist forces in the Spanish civil war.

In his "confession" in the *New York Post*, there was no apology for this; no admission that the Reds also duped him into supporting Communist Spain.

From his series of articles it appears that Mr. Lasser was in agreement with the Communist Party as long as it was opposed to Hitler.

Perhaps, after Reds get new orders from Moscow and swing around to a new line, Mr. Lasser will come back to the fold.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Who Is to Blame?

AN APPROPRIATE editorial bearing the above title appeared in *Detroit Free Press*, Wednesday, August 21, 1940.

With due acknowledgment we print it for the benefit of our readers:

"Let's get away from the confusion of the hour at Washington and get back to a time when we could think more clearly.

"When a gardener finds that his flowers do not blossom he does not grow emotional about that obvious fact; he analyzes the soil from which they sprouted.

"When a typhoid epidemic hits a community the intelligent health officer does not go into a frenzy over the individual victims; he traces the poison to its source and cleanses the waters. The epidemic then ceases automatically.

"In the case of the gardener it is a matter of preparation; in the case of the health officer it is a matter of prevention.

"It is the same in every walk of life. We reap what we sow.

" '*Ye shall know them by their fruits. Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles?*'

"Now let us turn back the calendar for a year and see what was happening then so that we can have a better perspective on the preparedness controversy now waging in our national capital.

"One year ago, Hitler launched his long-awaited *blitzkrieg* that was to conquer Europe. One year ago he directed his remorseless machine against Poland and the whole world knew then that all he had written in his book "*Mein Kampf*" was a blueprint of his purposes.

* * *

"In recognition of this — one year ago — President Roosevelt announced the appointment of a War Resources Board. It was to be, he said, a civilian advisory committee to work with the Army and Navy Munitions Board.

"Edward J. Stettinius, Jr., was selected as its chairman and the rest of the group were outstanding leaders of industry, commerce and transportation. At the same time Mr. Roosevelt called Col. Charles A. Lindbergh back from Europe to make a survey of our aviation conditions — as a recognized authority on the production and methods of Russia, Germany, Italy and Great Britain.

"There was universal acclaim at the Presidential foresightedness in making the initial move to get this country on a preparedness basis.

"That is, there was universal approbation outside the inner circle of the White House.

"There a movement, headed by Tommy Corcoran, Bennie Cohen and Harry Hopkins began. Monkey-wrenches were tossed into the newly set up machinery.

* * *

"Sept. 28, 1939, the *New York Times* carried a story from Washington, saying:

" 'New Dealers of the inner circle believe that after weeks of effort they have succeeded in neutralizing or sidetracking the War Resources Board. The inner circle, of which Thomas G. Corcoran and Benjamin Cohen are members, is convinced that the President has shifted from favoring the use of industrialists in meeting emergencies created by the war, to their position, viz., that the agencies created by the New Deal, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Federal Power Commission and the Agricultural Adjustment Administration, must bear the chief, if not the entire, burden of present and future wartime problems . . . The New Dealers took up the gage as soon as the industrial complexion of the War Resources Board was made known. . . . The Corcoran-Cohen group is reported to have gone into action immediately.'

"Arthur Krock wrote in the *Times* of Sept. 29, 1939:

" 'The young radicals and business baiters of the White House circle . . . are giving out private "victory" communiques and assuring the even younger band of government employees for whom they have found places that "Wall Street" has been routed and the President's heart is, as ever, in the right place. . . . The entire experience of the War Resources Board has been typical of what happens to business men and financiers drafted by the New Deal.'

"The sabotage of the preparedness plans was so complete that the exuberant Tommy Corcoran could not resist boasting of the success of their scheme.

"Last fall, almost nine months ago, the Stettinius committee, hamstrung and harassed, finally turned in a report to the President. So did Col. Lindbergh.

"Both these reports were pigeon-holed and the public has yet to get a glimpse of what they contained.

"Six months passed and little or nothing was done by the President or his advisers to start the national defense wheels turning.

"Three months ago the Nazi power machine crushed France and Mr. Roosevelt began sending flaming messages for immediate action to Congress and gave his now famous 'on-hand-or-on-order' fireside chat.

"A new advisory board was quickly called into being — with no more authority than the first one.

"The same Mr. Stettinius was recalled to take up the work where he had previously left off.

"He and William S. Knudsen have gone along for three months clearing millions of dollars worth of orders from their desks without authority, however, to sign any contracts. The bottle-neck remains where it was — in the inner circle of the White House with Henry Morgenthau as chief axe man.

"They still insist on playing with their theoretical reforms instead of getting the national defense in order.

"They are still dreaming of a system of State Socialism for the United States and think it more important than preparing this country for the onslaught of totalitarianism, which the President says is imminent.

"Who, then, is to blame?

"President Roosevelt goes to New York to review troops being trained with broomsticks and using laundry wagons as imitation tanks. His Secretary of the Navy tells the Congress that "days, hours, minutes" are vital.

"The seed of preparedness was planted a year ago.

"Who poisoned the soil?"

* * *

How to Defeat Hitler

IN KEEPING with the spirit of national defense, which appears to be identified with the liquidation of Adolf Hitler's economic ascendency, may we suggest a simple, efficient and sure-fire plan:

1. This is an economic war — not a religious or ideological war.

2. It is not being waged between democracies and anti-democracies.

3. It is being waged between capitalists and anti-capitalists.

4. The capitalists either have the gold or enjoy its use; the anti-capitalists have no gold of any account, nor do they enjoy its use.

5. The capitalists are dedicated to a system of trade and commerce on the basis of payment in gold.

6. The anti-capitalists are dedicated to a system of trade and commerce based on a system of barter.

7. By this time Europe, and a great part of Asia, are in the anti-capitalist camp.

8. Great Britain (which includes England, Scotland, Canada, South Africa, Australia, New Zealand, etc.) and the United States of America, for all practical purposes, stand alone as opposed to the rest of the world.

9. Economics — which means production, buying and selling, trade and commerce — is the hinge upon which the whole contest turns.

10. Either Great Britain and the United States will subdue the anti-capitalist armies and force their system upon the rest of the world, or the United States and Great Britain, through their better medium of trade, will win the rest of the world over to its system.

11. Now the rest of the world, so-called, has no gold of any account. But China, India, Japan and South America have plenty of silver which they would be glad to use in trade.

12. Great Britain and the United States together, probably, can produce more silver than the rest of the world.

13. Why not, therefore, remonetize silver, thereby enabling the above-mentioned non-gold countries to trade with Great Britain and the United States?

The above is a simple suggestion which, if put into practice, would avoid a bloody war between armies and would enable Great Britain and the United States to win the economic co-operation of the silver-holding and producing nations.

It appears to us that if silver, which exists in a ratio of about 16 to 1 to gold, would be remonetized and used as a basis for currency and credit money, the most disastrous war in the history of civilization could be avoided 5 to 8 years hence.

The above is set down in as simple language as is possible.

Will someone be kind enough to bring the suggestion to the attention of Mr. Roosevelt?

It is a suggestion proposed by Father Coughlin as early as 1934 when, at that time, it was apparent that commercial gold was being monopolized by Great Britain and the United States, thereby creating a cause of war.

It is not necessary, at this juncture, to expatiate upon this suggestion other than to say that the remonetization of silver would open wide for us the trade doors of the Orient and of South America.

Of course, the acceptance by Great Britain and the United States of silver as a basis for money might bring about certain economic hardships to those whose fortunes are tied singularly to gold.

The non-acceptance of silver is liable to destroy both them and their fortunes entirely, together with millions of innocent persons throughout the world.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Notes on Conscription

H. L. Mencken on Youth

READERS of the *Baltimore Sun* newspapers have a rare privilege in the editorial fare provided them more or less regularly by the able H. L. Mencken.

His brilliant pen entertains while it informs, and his sound sense has a blasting effect on political hypocrisies.

"The totalitarian powers show no sign whatever of contemplating a military offensive against the United States," he writes in a recent *Sun* column.

"All they apparently prepare for, in so far as their known acts point the way, is a prudent defense against the military attack and economic squeeze that the Hon. Mr. Roosevelt openly plans on *them*.

"But if the hon. gentleman, in his handling of foreign affairs, thus clings boldly to the fakery that has marked all his dealings with domestic relations, it does not follow that conscription, in itself, is a bad idea.

"On the contrary, nearly all the apposite considerations go to show that it is a good one.

"It not only offers the only known means of raising an army on the scale demanded by modern war; it is also the only device that distributes the burden of service in a reasonably equitable manner, and levies productively upon every skill and talent present in the population.

"Best of all, it catches the young at the precise moment when they most need catching, and subjects them to a discipline that is both harsh and salubrious.

"They go into the army with their heads full of the banal yearnings and rebellions of youth, by a copious misinformation out of too active glands; they return to civil life either as men fit for command or as slaves taught to obey.

"If there were no other usufruct — and there are many others — that one alone would be enough to justify conscription ten times over . . .

* * *

"In all the visible universe," continues Mr. Mencken, "there is no class of youngsters who need the discipline of military training more than those of the current and recent American generations.

"The years of prosperity softened and enfeebled them to the point of almost ruining them. Education, ceasing to be a drill in attention, industry and order, became a witless hocus-pocus, mountebankish in method and puerile in aims.

"The doctrine began to go about that every boy and girl in the country, however unfit and unprepared, was entitled to its boons as of right, and that its processes should be geared down to let in those incapable of any ponderable benefit from it.

"The result was the demoralization of the whole educational system. It became a kind of factory operated on a mass-production basis, with every machine creaking.

"There were not enough competent teachers to man it, so all sorts of quasi-teachers were recruited, and the profession of pedagogy degenerated into a charlatanry almost comparable to spiritualism.

"Half-wits by the hundred thousand were lured from the plow and the washtub, and set upon a search for white-collar jobs.

"Students of any intelligence, engulfed in the muck, gave up the quest for learning —always a struggle, invariably painful— as a hopeless waste of energy.

* * *

". . . It began to be preached seriously, and believed widely, that every youngster in the land had a claim on the public treasury for a long series of benefits, and that he had done enough to earn them when he had filed his claim to them . . .

"This lunacy still flourishes, though the progress of events has tended, somewhat, to ameliorate its worst extravagances.

"The cure for such hooey, if it is to be effective, must be somewhat harsh, and I am convinced that universal military service is well adapted to the purpose.

"Unlike most other medicines, it is not only therapeutic but also nourishing. It saves the patient's life by making him more fit to live. He emerges from his course of treatment a better man than he was when he began it — not only better in body but also better in mind and spirit, better as a citizen and a man.

"Above all, it purges him of the hallucination — if he happens to be one of those who harbor it — that there can be any such thing in this world as rights that carry no corresponding duties.

"This is the fundamental fallacy of democracy, and it has already taken us far along the road to disaster. If it can be dissipated, then there will be some sense in trying to save what little of value is left.

"I suggest once more that a year in the army is one of the best means imaginable for dissipating it."

* * *

Mexico

AMERICANS have shown a deep concern about the possibility of Mexico being used as a base of operation by "fifth columnists," during disturbed conditions in our own country this Fall.

If so, the United States will be responsible for this because of our coddling of anti-Christian and Communist regimes in Mexico, and for our opposition to governments which are truly representative of the Mexican people.

We could eliminate any regime which permits "fifth columnists" to operate in Mexico, simply by ceasing to buy Mexico's silver at subsidized and premium prices.

The Cardenas-Comacho government has permitted the "fifth columnists" to start two newspapers directed against America and dedicated to arousing hatred of Yankee imperialism.

Unless America supported the Communist regimes of Mexico through moral, financial and commercial subsidies, the government south of the border would go out of existence immediately.

Through the United States Treasury Department's continued purchases of Mexican silver, the Calles-Cardenas-Comacho Communist collectivistic coalition has continued, and the United States has threatened to take action through sanctions against any popular government which threatens to overthrow the Communist minority.

The Cardenas-Comacho government is now facing a severe crisis.

The government is reportedly losing millions of dollars a month in supporting the oil fields expropriated and the refineries seized from American concerns by Mexican workers.

Mexican oil reserves are piling up because Mexico cannot ship the oil to the belligerents, especially to Germany and Italy; and now the Mexican petroleum industry is confronted with overproduction and loss of markets.

Thousands of Mexican oil workers who were permitted to confiscate, operate and own the expropriated oil properties, are now unemployed. The government is forced to pay them wages and pour money into fields and refineries which are idle.

Because of the grave crisis in the oil industry, the Communist labor leader, Toledano, and his henchmen, have been somewhat discredited and have even lost voice in the government.

America, therefore, has the opportunity of killing off any fifth column activities in Mexico by letting the Mexican people get rid of their Communist government and substituting for it a regime that is the choice of the people. Even the rigged elections indicate this choice.

* * *

Aluminum

THE GENERAL impression has been created, due to recent statements emanating supposedly from sources close to the National Defense Advisory Board, that control of the world's aluminum supply is vested in two great monopolistic corporations.

Of these, one was the Aluminum Company of America, which is said to have a virtual monopoly of all aluminum in the Western Hemisphere; and the other a German concern, which exercises dictatorial control of all aluminum in Europe.

This problem is important because aluminum is indispensibly essential in the manufacture of the airplanes, which we need for defense.

Hence, it is significant that the R.F.C. has authorized a loan of $15,800,000 to the "Reynolds Metals Co." for that concern "to produce aluminum, a product considered essential to the national defense program."

According to Jesse Jones, Federal Loan Administrator, the Reynolds Metals Co. is now "exploring several sources of *bauxite*" in this country.

Bauxite is the ore from which aluminum is manufactured.

It was added that the new company would build its plants in the Tennessee valley, dependent, of course, on the new dam construction now contemplated there

The Administration has used the political argument that opponents of the new TVA dam are sabotaging national defense, because the new aluminum company will be dependent upon the dam for power, light and water.

Officials of the R.F.C. denied that the Government subsidies to the new company were directed toward "breaking up the aluminum monopoly," but Mr. Jones did say that it was a "good idea" to have as many sources for such material as possible.

There has, in fact, been little or no realization by the people that there were any extensive deposits of bauxite in America. Aluminum has long been listed in Government reports as an essential import.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

A World War Veteran

TO THE EDITOR:

The "minority group" which accuses Col. Charles A. Lindbergh of disloyalty are the real enemies within our country.

They are using the same tactics that worked in 1917.

At that time many of us championed these friends of "democracy," not knowing their true identity and objective. Personally, I volunteered my services to my country.

However, things have changed. The administration itself does not respect the laws it enacts.

While we still have 12-million unemployed, thousands of so-called "persecuted people" are admitted to our shores, regardless of immigration laws.

It is their kind that clamor for our entry into the present conflict overseas. Why didn't they stay there and fight for the "ideals" they talk so much about; it is their fight, not ours.

Anyone who openly defies the hidden hand of this international gang is branded and condemned in their controlled world press.

We veterans of the last World War must be on our guard against these "termites," who wish to involve our nation in this struggle to promote and safeguard their policy of world domination.

Let's awake, Americans, before it is too late!

Stand by Col. Lindbergh's policy—to keep our country out of this unjust war.

—T.G.

Union City, New Jersey

Let Women Awake

TO THE EDITOR:

The American women can awake in time to save the nation from destruction from within.

Will they spend one-half the time and effort studying over the affairs of the nation that they spend on bridge? If so, there is still hope of saving Christianity here and offering refuge and Christian guidance to an almost lost world.

We have men who can draw up bills so final in detail that every man, woman and child will be regimented, every particle of wealth will be confiscated.

Yet these same men would tell you it is impossible to draw up a plan whereby these men and women would be given employment at a living, annual wage.

We have men who can plan and rush through billions and more billions for the munition makers and bankers. Does this give them a living, annual wage? Do you find any of them on W.P.A.?

Yet ask these same men to seek the enactment of the "Townsend Plan," or an honest money plan, and they will tell you this would bankrupt the nation.

Government meals can be planned for your children, but no living wage for your husband that you may feed your own children.

Will the American women awake and learn the cause of unemployment, wars and "isms" and then begin doing something about it?

An educational campaign for officeholders is needed.

—C.M.S.

San Mateo, Calif.

Have Others Write

TO THE EDITOR:

We are readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE and are certainly thankful for the knowledge and enlightenment we receive from its pages.

It is wonderful to know we are getting the truth.

The *Burke-Wadsworth bill* is certainly a vicious bill; it will certainly destroy our *Constitution* if passed.

Is there anything we can do to help prevent its passage?

We have written to our Congressmen to protest against its passage. We do not know what else can be done and we ask you to advise us.

We do not see any reason why we should help England or become involved in the war.

—L.A.

St. Paul, Minn.

Spirit of America

TO THE EDITOR:

On Sunday, August 4th, as I sat at my loudspeaker I heard a fearless voice, clear and resolute that I know must have given needed hope and courage to millions of true Americans. That voice may be silenced but the echo will forever linger on.

Amid the hysterical war-mongering which the internationalists and their abettors have loosed upon our people, Col. Charles Lindbergh stands as a ray of hope in a darkening land.

When two or three years ago the "Lone Eagle" told the facts as they existed in Europe, the controlled press and radio of our nation loosed a torrent of abuse against this Christian crusader. But time has vindicated this great American.

It is significant that the press dispatches reporting the Colonel's talk left out the important parts and quoted those lines that would seek to change the theme of the address and thereby discredit him.

"If the British are fighting a holy war in defense of Christianity let them carry their battle flags to the ruins of the churches of Russia!"

There, Christian Americans, is a challenge which a fearless soldier of Christ has hurled at the Sanhedrin. Are we bold enough to back that challenge with our minds and hearts and souls? In the words of Father Coughlin, I say: "Christians, be militant!"

The true character of the American people has, perhaps, been submerged with indifference and laxity. The hysteria of the international Cabal has succeeded misleading many unthinking Americans. Truth will out, however, and in time the true spirit of America will arise and assert itself.

—F.M.

Louisville, Ky.

'You-all Be Wantin' This Again Soon, Boss?'



—Cartoonist Yardley in the Baltimore Sunday Sun

Hurrah for Mr. Ford

TO THE EDITOR:

Have just read the article about Henry Ford for President. While I always take great interest in the letters, I think this one is most timely. Why can't we get a real red-blooded American for President? A man whom the whole country knows as such. One who is tried and proven true like Mr. Ford whom we all know, love, trust and honor.

—Mrs. C.L.M.

Oklahoma City, Okla.

Money-Changers

TO THE EDITOR:

Herewith, I wish to express my opinion regarding Col. Lindbergh's radio broadcast on Sunday, August 4th. It was a clean, unbiased expression, which in my mind, is just as true as he has proved himself in the past.

Now, would it not be a blessing to a fair minded public to be able to cast their ballot for such a declared character for our national leader, rather than to be forced to vote for one which has been chosen by a cooked-up political few?

Our only present solution is to choose the lesser of two evils.

Why create so much fear, instead of driving the money-changers out of the temple?

Conversely, we are bringing them in from all over the world. We are paying $35 an ounce for their yellow loot and paying millions for vault construction, and now, appropriating billions for the biggest military force in the world, on the superstitious belief to guard this unrealistic value to our human civilization, which in reality is useless and senseless, even to be based upon as a medium of exchange.

Why not adopt a civilized, or constitutional way, and have Congress issue and regulate our money; a Government-owned banking system which can adjust a sufficient volume of money to function as a medium of exchange for the good of all the people instead of for a selfish few?

Fond du Lac, Wis. —H.R.

Unnecessary Despair

TO THE EDITOR:

I still have a clipping in my files of *The Cincinnati Enquirer* dated December 8, 1938, wherein a suicide is reported.

It *adlibs* "that this makes 110 suicides for the year (1938) which were committed because of despondency due to poverty and malnutrition."

One-hundred and ten suicides in one year, because of despondency—and we should help the "gang of racketeers" that would perpetuate an economic system that makes despondency and ends in suicide.

Cincinnati, Ohio —A Reader

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

Americans First

TO THE EDITOR:

Twenty-two miles northeast of Greenville, Maine, near the beautiful Lake Kokadjo there is a signboard which reads, *"This is God's country, why set it on fire and make it look like hell."*

At first the sign leaves but a slight impression on one's mind, but after a few days' visit, you begin to realize that it is God's country, quiet, peaceful and calm.

You awake in the morning midst the sound of wild canaries, the wind whispering in the pines, the smell of wood smoke mingled with that of boiling coffee and frying bacon. Yes, you feel just on the edge of civilization, wild and beautiful, which makes one think more dearly of God, and man's relations to man.

It is God's country, but just one of such little spots that make up this great country of ours.

For seven years as an independent voter I have watched a New Deal try to set it on fire and make it look like hell. I am convinced that this year will tell the story whether the fire will start to burn and destroy all the past generations have built up.

Every one, be they Independent, Republican or Democrat, must remember they are Americans, first, last and always, and must unite under one vote and put out this New Deal if they are to save God's country.

We are living in a world of economic evolution, where one system of economics has broken down because of greed and ambitions, and another economic system must take its place.

World history shows us that this has taken place before and will take place again until man learns to live with his fellow man.

Our *Constitution* shows us the way, let us keep it to the letter.

The New Deal has had its day and failed. Will this failure bring war, unbearable taxation and economic ruin to cover up its imported philosophies?

Will this coming election show burning ideals and ashes of what was once God's country?

These questions must be answered once and for all this November.

—W.I.H.

Cranston, R. I.

Equipped for Isolation

TO THE EDITOR

Why is it necessary to disrupt the lives of so many Americans with this latest brain-child of an hysterical Government namely, the *Burke-Wadsworth Bill.*

To make no provisions for seminarians and religious to go about their good work; and as for the laboring man, if this bill becomes a law, the forty hour work week will disappear from American life. Many men experienced in their jobs will be forced to enter a branch of the service and other men who are inexperienced will take their places.

It takes experience to turn out the work which a short work week demands, therefore, the change over will cause much disorder ending in repudiation of union hour and wage contracts. This in turn will cause strikes. Strikes will be outlawed by a dictatorial government.

In the end, we will be asking ourselves, "What happened to representative government?"

Draft the National Guard if need be, but not the general civilian population.

This country is better equipped for isolation than any in the world today. Some 93 per cent of our trade is domestic and only 7 per cent foreign. In spite of our foreign trade we lack jobs for 10-million men, and all that is needed by them and their families to make life worth living.

From now on our foreign trade will grow less and less every year as Japan and Germany will most likely boycott us as we did them in the past.

Japan will control Asia.

Germany will control Europe.

South American countries will sell their goods to the highest bidder. As we need very little of their goods, we had better concentrate on domestic trade.

—A.G.

Philadelphia, Pa.

A Voter Answers

TO THE EDITOR:

We probably should feel flattered that Congressmen condescend to ask us for our opinions and suggestions.

Experience, however, tells us that it is all blarney; that Congress will tax what they are told to tax—or be purged.

Do these Congressmen believe that there is no end to our patience?

How much longer do they expect us to believe we are to get a ride in a golden chariot that turns out to be a jolt wagon?

Representatives Treadway and Doughton, and all the members of the Ways and Means Committee, know what to tax to improve conditions in this country, but they lack the courage and the patriotism to do that thing.

That one thing is to tax income on bonds.

I defy the Ways and Means Committee, or any member of the House, to give argument why this should not be done.

Or, as an alternate suggestion I offer that bonds for defense (if bonds must be issued) be issued without the interest coupons to determine how many bankers and investors have enough patriotism to loan their money to the Government in this so-called crisis.

I would like to know how many of these "big boys," who want the youth of the land to sacrifice his entire life for his country, are willing to lend their money with a guarantee of security—but without interest?

As a third suggestion I submit a moratorium on all interest payments on all Government bonds until the defense program is paid off.

Either of these three suggestions will test out the patriotism — or the slackerism — of the money man as well as the Congressmen who protect him.

You asked for it, Mr. Treadway, now what will you do about it? It's your baby now!

—F.A.G.

Cincinnati, Ohio

Youth and New France

TO THE EDITOR:

The ostracization of an atheistic internationalism in France has been followed by the rise of a Christian State.

First, there was the liberation of the Church in Portugal; then, the crusade in Spain against suffocation by Communism; and now the resurgence of France, lulled to sleep by the intoxicating poison of immorality.

The hope of France is in its youth movements, and in particular the J.O.C. (Young Christian Workers).

The Jocist movement is rechristianizing the working youth of France to such an extent that the radicals and liberals see in it the termination of their plot to destroy Christianity.

Together with the J.O.C., the J.A.C. (for farmers); the J.E.C. (for students); the J.M.C. (for sailors); the J.I.C. (for the bourgeoise) will co-ordinate their efforts as never before and with their help France will once more rise to new heights.

A new youth will remake a new France for Christ rather than be sacrificed on the altar of an enslaving materialism.

—V.J.F.

Glendale, L. I., N. Y.

We Demand Prosperity

TO THE EDITOR:

Again some of the $10,000 a year, 159 per cent Americans in Congress will clutter up the airways and speakers' platforms with the old "stuff"—"From the Rock Bound coast of Maine to the sun burnt hinges on the golden gate, etc.," and attempt to extract from the area described some hundreds of thousands of real Americans and put them on the payroll at $21 per month.

As the defeated candidate remarked, "I demand a recount," we also demand, this time, a reversal of form.

President Roosevelt has had the authority for several years to have issued $3-billion in greenbacks and is waiting for some big moment to arrive. That moment is here, and from the "Rock bound coast of Maine," etc., he can hire about a million good boys at $150 per month and put some real money in circulation without bonds.

You can dig up some real defenders at $150 per month, and that money will be spent right here instead of across the pond where the other billions went, never to return.

Also the boys can get married on that salary, and send the birth controllers into another huddle while they swim out to the old 12-mile prohibition limit in either ocean and lick anything that shows up.

Meanwhile, we should penalize the 159 per cent Americans by putting them back in short pants for the balance of their term in office.

—O.M.F.

Pittsburgh, Pa.

What d'ya Mean, 'Colonel'?

TO THE EDITOR:

As a regular reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE, I say, let's do our bit to deflate the ego of some of the "stuffed-shirt" colonels, generals, et. al., whose names find their way on to the pages not only of SOCIAL JUSTICE, but nearly all publications.

Colonel (?) Frank Knox — "how come" the *Colonel?*

General (?) Charles Gates Dawes—"how come" the *General?*

Although I was a Private First Class during the World War, nobody ever addresses me as such—only by my name, and, in harmony with my wishes, devoid of any such thing as "mister."

—S.R.

Evanston, Ill.

Agreement in Part

TO THE EDITOR:

I differ with the Editor of SOCIAL JUSTICE on several subjects, but on these I am in complete harmony:

1. That the time is ripe for an American declaration of independence from the British Empire.
2. That the British imperialism is just as bad as the German brand.
3. That the real "Fifth Columnists" in America are the plutocratic royalists.

You have done much to expose this condition.

—V.A.M.

Brooklyn, N. Y.

Appeals to Mothers

TO THE EDITOR:

Kindly permit me to use some space in your truth-telling SOCIAL JUSTICE to send forth a plea to the women, and especially the mothers, of the United States.

I ask them in all sincerity to arouse themselves before it is too late.

We must unite at once to stop this un-American act to conscript our sons. Military conscription in peace time must be looked upon as a despised form of regimentation. We believe it is the first step to send our sons to fight a foreign war which is certainly not any of our business.

We American mothers want work for our boys, not war, and definitely not on foreign soil.

Our President is most vociferous in criticizing Hitler's Nazism, while at the same time he is copying the form of military conscription law which was born and reared in Europe.

I implore every mother, wife and sister to join one of the Women's and Mother's organizations that are rapidly being formed throughout these United States.

—Mrs. O.M.

Philadelphia, Pa.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Believe It or Not

Who Said This?

NOWADAYS, anyone who traces the rise of Hitler and the present European war to the iniquitous *Treaty of Versailles* is tagged a "fifth columnist," and a Nazi stooge

Bearing this in mind it is interesting to read excerpts from the writings of an eminent American journalist now active on behalf of Great Britain.

According to Senator Burton K. Wheeler of Montana, who read it on the floor of the Senate recently, this distinguished disciple of intervention wrote in 1938 that the consequences of the *Treaty of Versailles* "are here now."

The journalist asserted, "it was a terrible treaty. It penalized Germany beyond human endurance. It humiliated her, and it imposed a financial burden upon the vanquished that was out of all reason. *That treaty was merely a declaration of the next war*

"The consequences are here now. The trouble started 10 years ago when the economic world began to blow up, and the economic phases of the treaty were abandoned.

"If these punitive treaty provisions had not been written we should have had no depression, no world panic.

"If the political phases of the treaty had not been written we should have today, no Hitler, no threat of war beclouding the world

"With all the evil of this calamitous crime before the eyes of the men who made that treaty, why did they do it?

"Three men, primarily, were responsible. Each reflected a greed of his own group: Clemenceau, Lloyd George, and Woodrow Wilson

"The Allies still have their opportunity. They have tried force when Germany surrendered. Force has failed. They can turn to reason if they have the wisdom to do so. But now (1938) the appeal to arms will be futile. For no one ever won any war, and no one will win this new war when it starts

"The present economic, political and moral order will fall under the impact of another war.

"If we are to save our democratic civilization we should get it into our democratic heads and hearts as practical men that we have only one Savior; . . . and that is the philosophy, the precepts, and the guiding morals of Jesus of Nazareth."

Why, that sounds like one of those "foolish isolationists" who see no good purpose in Great Britain's "holy war" against Germany, and no reason for American involvement.

It sounds like one of those "fifth columnists" — Father Coughlin, Colonel Lindbergh, Senator Wheeler, Senator Lundeen or Senator Holt.

Who said it?

According to Wheeler it was William Allen White, head of the Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies, an organization backed by a group of warmongers bent on dragging the United States into war to save the British Empire!

Somewhere along the line, since 1938, when he wrote those words, Mr. White apparently changed his mind.

Now, he says, it is our sacred duty to destroy Hitlerism, and in doing so take measures that can only lead to war.

Opinion

"There is nothing in the Roosevelt record more impressively consistent than his intolerance of the point of view which does not perfectly agree with his own." says the *Minneapolis Morning Tribune*

This intolerance has grown in scope and intensity as Mr. Roosevelt drank the heady draught of presidential authority; but always it has manifested itself in the totalitarian instinct to mete out punishment for opposition, to intimidate the dissenter, and to crush out convictions and ideas which were not a faithful mirror of the presidential mind."

Says the *Milwaukee Journal:* "Willkie is establishing a standard for those who still believe in the American way of life and have faith in the American ideas which made that way of life possible

"It is a bigger issue than any dividing Republican and Democratic parties.

"It is bigger than any old sectional lines.

"It is as fundamental as the issue Lincoln represented when he rejected the pleas of abolitionist supporters and said simply, 'I would save the Union'!"

Climax of Summer Tours to Shrine

The Labor Day Week-End will end the tours to the Shrine for the Summer of 1940. Arrangements have been made in New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Brooklyn, Long Island, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Eastern Pennsylvania, and the New England States, whereby anyone interested in making a trip to Royal Oak from any of these cities can take advantage of the special low rates being offered.

These official pilgrimages will have the honor of assisting at a special Mass being celebrated by Father Coughlin, after which, they will be shown around this magnificent edifice and have the symbolism of the famous Shrine explained in detail. Following this the visitors will want to see the grotto erected on the site of the original frame Shrine which burned four years ago. Outside Stations of the Cross have been placed in exactly the same location as were the Stations in the first little Church where the voice of Father Coughlin became known to his now thousands of radio listeners.

In the afternoon at 3:30 o'clock, Benediction of the Most Holy Sacrament will take place. At this time the visitors will have the opportunity of being blessed with the true relic of St. Therese

Following is presented a list of the individuals to be contacted for making last minute reservations on one of the official Labor Day Tours.

* * *

AUGUST 30, 31 AND SEPTEMBER 1, 2 LABOR DAY WEEK-END

A personally conducted tour from Brooklyn, New York, and vicinity to the Shrine of the Little Flower at Royal Oak, Michigan, Buffalo, N. Y., Niagara Falls and Our Lady of Victory Shrine at Lackawanna, N. Y.

Cost includes railroad fare and pullman (lower berth), on going trip, steamer fare, stateroom on steamer for two nights (all outside rooms), all meals, sightseeing trips to Niagara Falls, motor trip to Father Baker's Shrine at Lackawanna, motor trip to Detroit and Shrine of the Little Flower, Royal Oak, Mich.; $42 includes all expenses.

For further information and reservations, contact Mrs. Sadie D. Hynes, 4901 6th Ave., Brooklyn, New York.

Third Annual Rail and Lake Cruise from Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Leaving Pittsburgh at 6 P. M. (Daylight Time) via the Pennsylvania Railroad, Saturday, August 31, thence D. & C. Steamer Cleveland to Detroit and bus to the Shrine. Returning to Pittsburgh at noon on Labor Day, or any time within 10 days. The tour is operated on an all expense basis and may be enjoyed for as low as $13 for the complete round trip. Contact J. E. O'Connor (CArrick 1167-M); A. J. Staud (HEmlock 8307); L. P. Welte (WAlnut 4001); Mrs. Lou Scott (EVerglade 5366). Reservations absolutely limited.

From Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Eastern Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Delaware. Under auspices of the Pilgrims Club thousands will leave for Royal Oak on a Rail-Water Pilgrimage, via B.&O.R.R. and D. & C. Steamer. Special train leaves 24th and Chestnut Sts., Philadelphia, Saturday, August 31, 10:00 A.M. Spend the entire day and evening at the Shrine. Busses will leave the Shrine at 10:00 P.M., giving all a chance to attend the afternoon services as well as assisting at the Mass Father Coughlin will celebrate on Sunday morning in honor of the Pilgrimages for the day. Round trip fare (transportation only), $14.00; (Children, 5 to 12, $8.00), from Philadelphia.

Information may be obtained from the following officers: James A. Furey, Jr., Chairman, 2800 Grays Ferry Rd. (Ful. 3811); Michael Gibbons, Vice Chairman, 4307 Westminster Ave. (Gre. 2763); William Hodgson, Treasurer, 3129 No. Patton St.; Margaret O'Hagan, Secretary, 2018 Bellevue St. (Sag. 2192); or the B.&R.R., Broad and Walnut Sts. (Pen. 1900, Rit. 4500).

The tour from New York, Brooklyn, Long Island, New Jersey and the New England States, being sponsored by Mr. Bryan B. O'Rourke, affords an opportunity for all devotees of St. Therese to combine their pilgrimage with a delightful week-end vacation, including a visit to Niagara Falls, and a restful ride across beautiful Lake Erie. You will pass some of the finest scenery east of the Rockies on this trip.

Fare $23.25, includes taxi transfers between train and steamer pier at Buffalo, motor coach trip to Niagara Falls, meals at Buffalo and Niagara Falls, stateroom accommodations on steamer, and motor coach trip between the steamer pier at Detroit and the Shrine of the Little Flower.

For reservations on this pilgrimage apply to Bryan B. O'Rourke, 1807 Westchester Ave., Bronx, New York (Westchester 7-1731, 7-1371); or Erie R.R. Company, 12 W. 51st St., New York City (Circle 5-7222, 5-7225), Rockefeller Center.

For those in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, apply to Mr. James E. O'Connor, 154 Odette St., Overbrook, Pittsburgh, Pa.

From Newark and Jersey City, and those living in Essex Union, Hudson and Passaic Counties, New Jersey, apply to Mr. John C. Corbley, 38 Columbia Avenue. Newark, N. J. (Essex 2-0314).

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania — Mr. James A. Furey, Jr., 2800 Grays Ferry Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa. (Ful. 3811).

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Who Do You Think Will Be President?

THE NATIONWIDE poll of opinion on the forthcoming election, proposed by SOCIAL JUSTICE last week, has aroused wide interest.

For the convenience of additional readers who may wish to take part, we repeat the announcement and reprint the report coupon.

WHO DO YOUR NEIGHBORS THINK WILL BE ELECTED PRESIDENT NEXT NOVEMBER?

In order that the poll might not be confined exclusively to SOCIAL JUSTICE readers, we are asking each of you to poll five other persons.

They may be neighbors or friends, or complete strangers, as you prefer.

List the results in the coupon below and mail it to the Editor of SOCIAL JUSTICE, Royal Oak, Michigan.

* * *

We do not ask you to sign it. It does not matter who you are.

For purposes of evaluating the trend of opinion, however, we would like to have you indicate your city and state; and also your own occupation, whether farmer, city worker, professional man, mechanic, and the like.

The clerical staff of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine will tabulate the replies and we will publish the results from week to week.

Here is the report form:

SOCIAL JUSTICE POLL

Editor of SOCIAL JUSTICE
Royal Oak, Michigan

In my judgment the next President of the United States will be_____

A poll of five other persons questioned by me gave the following result: Roosevelt_____; Willkie_____

My occupation is_____ I live in_____, _____
(City) *(State)*

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Step by Step— Then War

"*Alarmist!*"

That was the name applied to all patriots who opposed the type of *Neutrality Bill* proposed by the New Deal last year.

Father Coughlin, among others, was loud in proclaiming that it would lead to war—and step by step.

The *Neutrality Bill*—even as written by the New Deal—has been emasculated.

In the beginning, American ships were not allowed to proceed into belligerent waters.

Now, American ships are being directed to proceed not only through belligerent waters but through mine-strewn areas which our Government knows to be mine-strewn.

We are solicitous about evacuating refugee children from Europe.

Bunk!

That is the advertised propaganda being fed to our people.

We have not enough ships to rescue more than a handful of children.

And for every child rescued from the port of Petsamo, 10,000 will be left un-rescued—and unfed; for the law is that our ships going to Europe may not carry food or clothing or medicine. Their hulls will be filled with water—salt water at that.

But more alarming than all this is the fact that our State Department, having been advised by the German State Department of a certain mine-strewn area south of Iceland and north of Scotland, officially instructs the captain of the *American Legion*—a rescue ship—to proceed practically through the mine-strewn area, thereby not only jeopardizing the lives of the passengers on the *American Legion*, but also the last remnants of international peace.

Why?

Because *this Government wants war with Germany without declaring war on Germany.*

The so-called alarmists, last year, said something about incidents being created to hurtle us into war.

If the *American Legion* will not prove to be an incident, rest assured one will be found even if it has to be manufactured.

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine is no pessimist in its prognostications. Nevertheless, being realists, we feel morally certain that this country will be engaged in war up to the hilt before many moons will have waned.

Possibly, some of you are too young to remember the impassioned appeals for war and the hysterical desires created thereby in the hearts of the people "to make the world safe for democracy" back in 1917.

Possibly, most of you forget what happened following 1919:

The boys returning from abroad had only one job to perform—the job of tramping the pavements in the army of the unemployed.

The "democracy" for which they fought produced Lenin and his Russian revolution.

The pledges proffered to us by Great Britain were not fulfilled.

Nothing better can be expected following this war, into which we are about to be high-balled by the New Deal Administration.

As we see it, we will not be militaristically engaged in this present phase of the war.

We are preparing for the big showdown some years hence.

The *Neutrality Bill* was the first step.

God knows what will be the last step in the days to come.

Have You Sent in Your Report in the SOCIAL JUSTICE Presidential Poll?

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED